



# BUILDING PERMIT FEES:

Boosting the Bottom Line  
for Minnesota Cities

*A Look at Disclosed Data on Minnesota  
Municipality Building Permit and  
Inspection Finances 2014-2018*

---

**HOUSINGAFFORDABILITYINSTITUTE.ORG**



# BUILDING PERMIT FEES:

Boosting the Bottom Line  
for Minnesota Cities

AUGUST 2019

**Nick Erickson**  
AUTHOR

**Kristen Ober**  
RESEARCHER

## ABOUT THE HOUSING AFFORDABILITY INSTITUTE

Founded in 2018, the Housing Affordability Institute is a non-profit seeking to increase housing affordability and protect homeownership by producing industry-leading research on homeownership and the cost drivers behind our growing affordability challenges.

HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

— INSTITUTE —



## TABLE OF

# CONTENTS

■ Executive Summary .....	5
■ Introduction.....	6
■ Proportionality of Building Permit Fees.....	7
■ Municipal Construction and Development Finance Annual Reporting.....	13
■ Conclusion .....	15
■ Notes.....	17
■ Appendix .....	18



# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Municipalities in Minnesota customarily impose building permit fees for their administration and enforcement of the various state codes for building, remodeling, and home improvement projects. Under Minnesota law, municipalities collecting more than \$5,000 in construction- and development-related fees are required to submit a standard annual report to the state of Minnesota.

State rules mandate that fees must be of “legal means and must be fair, reasonable, and proportionate to the actual cost of the service for which the fee is imposed.” However, publicly available data raises many questions regarding some municipalities’ compliance with this requirement.

For many municipalities, an initial review of data indicates building permit fees are proportional and appropriate. However, for others, particularly in some high-growth cities, building permit revenue was several times greater than the cities’ reported costs, which appears to contradict the state requirement that building permit fees be “proportionate to the actual cost of the service for which the fee is imposed.” Several municipalities also reported that this overcollection then becomes general fund revenue. For example, documents from the City of Corcoran, Minn., indicate the city is using its building permit fees from new homes to remodel its City Hall.

Given questions surrounding the high excess revenue derived from building permits and further questions regarding its use, the Minnesota Legislative Commission on Housing Affordability and Senate Select Committee on Homeownership Affordability and Availability should further study this issue. Insights gained may open the door to new, innovative methods for permitting and inspections that appropriately balance these costs, driving toward greater home affordability.

More broadly, to assess the proportionality of building permit fees, the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry should undertake a review of municipal building permit and plan review fee revenues and expenses.

From 2014 through 2017, the number of municipalities filing the required Municipal Construction and

Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report was extremely low, generating a call for greater compliance with this requirement from the housing industry and other observers.

The Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry communicated purposefully with municipalities to remind them of the reporting requirement and to emphasize compliance for the 2018 report year. The result was a measurable increase in reporting compliance for 2018. Despite this sharp increase, compliance by municipalities remains an issue. To achieve greater transparency and ensure public confidence, the Department should exercise its enforcement powers to increase compliance.

Of those municipalities that do file reports, review of the data reveals deficiencies in the standard reporting document. The report could be greatly enhanced by simple modifications that would provide greater accuracy and clarity on the collection and use of these funds.

## ■ ISSUES

**MILLIONS IN EXCESS REVENUE:** Analysis of data from 2014-2018 shows that Minnesota municipalities reported collecting \$78 million more in revenue than was spent on related services.

**KNOWN UNKNOWNS:** Municipalities contacted reported they do not track how much it costs to perform the different categories of inspections, further raising questions about how proportionality is established.

**USE OF FUNDS:** The documented use of building permit fees as a primary source of funding for a City Hall remodel likely means the city’s fees are not proportional.

**LACK OF REQUIRED REPORTING:** Between 101 and 108 municipalities reported annually for years 2014-2017. Reporting increased to 262 entities for 2018.

# INTRODUCTION

In researching new home construction cost drivers in *Priced Out: The True Cost of Minnesota's Broken Housing Market*, the Housing Affordability Institute observed a wide variance in permitting costs of similar homes in cities across the Minneapolis-St. Paul market. It also found a number of municipalities for which building permit income far exceeded the municipality's reported expenses.

Reviewing data provided by the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry, questions arose, and researchers discovered a persistent lack of compliance with the state law requiring municipalities to submit annual reports on construction and development finances. Prompted by the lack of reporting, the Housing Affordability Institute submitted a request to the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry seeking greater enforcement of the annual report requirement. The Department responded with a concerted effort to increase participation by municipalities as required by law.

While tracking the number of municipalities submitting their 2018 Municipal Annual Report, more questions about proportionality of building permit fees and the use of any over-collected funds arose, leading to four crucial policy questions regarding the appropriate use of building permit fees and transparency necessary to maintain public trust:

- Does requisite proportionality for building permit fees of new homes exist in Minnesota communities?
- If there is overcollection of building permit fees, what happens with these funds?
- What can be done to bring about greater compliance by Minnesota municipalities in reporting construction and development fees and expenditures?
- Can improvements be made to the Municipal Construction and Development Annual Report format in a way that increases transparency?

*Author's Note: The data referenced in this report is as of July 31, 2019.*

# PROPORTIONALITY OF BUILDING PERMIT FEES

The administrative rules set by the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry governing building permits, under Minnesota Administrative Rules chapter 1300, subpart 0160, state that building permit fees established by municipalities (defined as a city, county, or town; the University of Minnesota; or the state of Minnesota for public buildings and state-licensed facilities) must be set on a schedule adopted by the municipality issuing the building permit and must be commensurate with the service rendered.

The “fee for service” concept is further outlined in the Minnesota State Building Code, Code Adoption Guide published by the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry. This document also specifically states that building permit fees may not be used to support the city’s general fund or for other specific projects:

## ■ 1300.0160 FEES

Subp. 2. Fees commensurate with service. Fees established by the municipality must be by legal means and must be fair, reasonable, **and proportionate to the actual cost of the service for which the fee is imposed.**

*Emphasis added.*

“Each municipality is to evaluate local costs associated with the enforcement of the code. **From this local evaluation, a fee structure can be established to cover associated and related code enforcement responsibilities.** Again, by Minnesota Rule, the fees are to be commensurate with the services required/provided; building permit fees may not be used as a tool to raise additional monies for the municipalities’ general fund...

... Ideally, when a citizen purchases a permit, it is considered a “fee for service” charge that should be set-up to balance out at zero. **Building permit applicants should not be charged additional or extra fees to support a municipalities’ general fund or other special interest projects undertaken by the municipality.”**

*Emphasis added.*

Source: Minnesota State Building Code, Code Adoption Guide, Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry

## MUNICIPALITY-REPORTED DATA

Using the standard of proportionality, a municipality with moderate to high amounts of construction and renovation activity would likely see its building permit revenue be close to its costs, with annual adjustments made to ensure its income is near its total costs.

Any discussion of building permit fee finances must note that carryover from year-to-year is expected, especially with large non-residential projects for which inspections will occur over several years. Similarly, a new home permitted in November or December will likely see the inspections take place in the following

calendar year. This was noted by several cities when researchers studied proportionality.

A review of data filed with the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry over the past five years, 2014-2018, shows municipalities in Minnesota reported **\$78,325,403** in excess building permit revenue (this number reflects updated figures provided by municipalities, including those reporting no expenses).

The sharp increase in reported excess revenue in the 2018 report year is related to increased compliance with the Annual Report statute.

YEAR	TOTAL BUILDING PERMIT INCOME STATEWIDE	TOTAL BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES STATEWIDE	TOTAL EXCESS PERMIT REVENUE STATEWIDE
<b>2014</b>	<b>\$57,979,693</b>	<b>\$47,198,480</b>	<b>\$10,781,213</b>
<b>2015</b>	<b>\$67,153,328</b>	<b>\$52,156,329</b>	<b>\$14,996,999</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>\$74,551,211</b>	<b>\$62,341,213</b>	<b>\$12,209,998</b>
<b>2017</b>	<b>\$79,232,349</b>	<b>\$63,135,880</b>	<b>\$16,096,469</b>
<b>2018</b>	<b>\$105,872,025</b>	<b>\$81,631,301</b>	<b>\$24,240,724</b>
TOTAL:			<b>\$78,325,403</b>

## TOP FIVE REPORTED EXCESS REVENUE MUNICIPALITIES

2014		2015		2016		2017		2018	
City of Plymouth	\$2,548,673	City of Plymouth	\$3,005,148	City of Edina	\$3,342,065	City of Woodbury	\$3,391,671	City of Edina	\$3,143,367
City of Woodbury	\$1,918,192	City of Woodbury	\$2,662,902	City of Woodbury	\$2,752,420	City of Edina	\$2,995,425	City of Woodbury	\$2,935,083
City of Minnetonka	\$1,458,611	City of Minnetonka	\$2,339,506	City of Plymouth	\$1,608,108	City of Plymouth	\$2,106,727	City of Minnetonka	\$2,057,057
City of Edina	\$981,917	City of Edina	\$2,074,951	City of Lake Elmo	\$1,515,187	City of Blaine	\$1,800,396	City of Plymouth	\$1,951,705
City of Maplewood	\$969,015	City of St. Louis Park	\$1,508,338	City of Minnetonka	\$1,111,708	City of Golden Valley	\$1,796,203	City of Shakopee	\$1,404,955

## MUNICIPALITY RANKINGS BY REPORTED EXCESS REVENUE (CUMULATIVE 2014-2018):

MUNICIPALITY	TOTAL
City of Woodbury	\$13,660,268
City of Edina	\$12,537,725
City of Plymouth	\$11,220,361
City of Minnetonka	\$8,323,247
City of Shakopee*	\$4,542,590
City of St. Louis Park	\$4,186,422
City of Lakeville	\$3,873,363
City of Lake Elmo**	\$3,237,053
City of Golden Valley	\$3,219,694
City of Buffalo	\$2,813,744

\*Filed 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 Annual Reports; did not file 2014 Annual Report

\*\*Filed 2016 and 2017 Annual Reports; did not file 2014, 2015 and 2018 Annual Reports

While aggregate total excess revenue of \$78 million was reported by Minnesota municipalities, not every city reported excess building permit revenue. Some municipalities reported net losses. Others reported no expenses and were contacted to see if the expense figure was available. Figure 2 in the Appendix section contains the complete list.

## USE OF OVER-COLLECTED BUILDING PERMIT FEES

Researchers contacted 18 cities within Minnesota with both high new residential construction activity and high reported excess building permit revenue during 2014-2018 to inquire about the use of these funds. Of these 18 municipalities contacted, nine responded, with all but one city reporting that these over-collected funds are sent to their individual municipality's general fund.

A tenth city, the Minneapolis area suburb of Otsego, declined to state what happened with its \$1,002,255 excess building permit revenue in 2018 (the only year studied in which the city filed an Annual Report), stating that information "would require substantial staff time to create new data or interpret existing data."

Some cities, such as Buffalo and St. Michael, reported that the excess building permit revenue was sent to the city's general fund and may be used to cover other related overhead expenses.

The City of Corcoran, a high-growth city with no Annual Report on record, has indicated that it has earmarked the majority of building permit revenue as general fund dollars, noting that the revenue from the first 21 new home permits annually is adequate to fully fund the city's inspections department. There are approximately 70 new homes built annually in Corcoran in recent years.

## SPOTLIGHT: CITY OF CORCORAN CITY HALL

In the fast-growing Twin Cities suburb of Corcoran, the city has publicly provided a detailed picture of how it administers building permits and how it manages permit revenue.

According to the City of Corcoran, the City Hall will soon be undergoing a significant capital improvement project of approximately \$1.35 million without a direct impact on existing taxpayers in large part because the city will use excess building permit revenue.

### THE CITY'S FINANCE PLAN

The City created a plan to use building permit dollars for the majority of its City Hall renovation, along with other general funding sources. The City cites new growth as the driver for a renovated City Hall and thus justifies using building permit fee revenue to help finance the project. The City created a formula that divides costs for the renovation among a general fund and a new demand segment.

■ New demand: renovation needed due to new growth in the city	<b>\$901,088</b>
■ General fund: renovation needed regardless of growth	<b>\$186,200</b>
■ <b>TOTAL BUDGET (INITIAL 2018)</b>	<b>\$1,087,288</b>

For the project, which was initially budgeted at \$1.087 million, the staff recommendation approved by the council allocated \$186,200 of the costs to existing residents. The remaining \$901,088 would be paid for with excess building permit revenue:

■ <b>NEW DEMAND BUDGET:</b>	<b>\$901,088</b>
■ Long Range Planning Fund: generated from building permit revenue	<b>\$300,000</b>
■ 2019 Budget Transfer: excess building permit revenue	<b>\$120,000</b>
■ <b>BUDGET GAP:</b>	<b>(\$481,088)</b>

To fill the \$481,088 gap, the City stated it intends to borrow the money from the City's Water Fund (fees collected from new homebuyers for the stated use of building out the City's water infrastructure due to new development), as that fund has the most reserves. This shift of money from the Water Fund to help finance the new City Hall is to be paid back in eight annual installments beginning in 2020. These payments, set at between \$60,125 and \$62,000 in various documents, will be paid for with excess building permit revenue.

Since the November 2018 Finance Plan approval, the budget has grown \$236,000 from its original preliminarily approved amount to \$1,353,000. On May 9, 2019, the Corcoran City Council formally adopted remodel plan Option A1, with a projected cost of between \$1.263 million and \$1.443 million. For the purposes of estimating, the City averages this cost estimate at \$1,353,000. If further cuts to costs cannot be made, the City will likely use more funds from its Long Range Planning Fund, which is comprised of excess building permit revenue.

## SPOTLIGHT: CITY OF CORCORAN CITY HALL (CONTINUED)

### PROPORTIONALITY QUESTIONS

In a June 2018 memo from the Corcoran City Administrator to the Corcoran City Council, the Administrator indicated that to fund its annual permitting and inspections, the City of Corcoran only requires the fees from the first 21 new homes built. After these 21 permitted new housing units, additional building permit revenue, roughly \$3,000 per home, is used to build up reserves and other funds that are deemed by city officials to be insufficient.

This \$3,000 is not a one-time fee for virtually all new homebuyers in Corcoran. Each and every month for the next 30 years—the typical mortgage length—these buyers will be repaying the excess building permit fee incrementally, with interest. At 4.125% for a 30-year mortgage with 3% down, the total paid in the excess building permit fee is more than double, \$6,143.

### HOMEBUYER COST OF EXCESS FEES IN CORCORAN, MINNESOTA

Excess Building Permit Fee		\$3,000
Builder Overhead	15%	\$450
Builder Margin	6%	\$180
<b>Total Permit Excess</b>		<b>\$3,630</b>
Down Payment	3%	\$109
<b>Mortgage Amount</b>		<b>\$3,521</b>
<b>Mortgage Rate</b>	<b>4.125%</b>	<b>\$2,622</b>
<b>TOTAL LIFETIME COST</b>		<b>\$6,143</b>

The City of Corcoran's building permit revenue exceeds the \$5,000 Municipal Annual Report threshold but it has not filed the required report with the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry.

The plan to finance this new City Hall with the City's building permit fees clearly raises pointed questions about proportionality. If viewed through the standard set by the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry in its *Code Adoption Guide*, the City of Corcoran's fees appear to be excessive, as municipalities have been instructed not to use building permit income for special interest projects.

### TRACKING PERMIT REVENUE

A review of Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports for cities with high excess building permit revenue reveals praise for excess building permit fees. These Annual Financial Reports are documents produced by cities for their residents, as opposed to the required reporting to the Department of Labor and Industry.

#### ■ THE LAW

Fees commensurate with service. Fees established by the municipality must be by legal means and must be fair, reasonable, and proportionate to the actual cost of the service for which the fee is imposed.

## TRACKING PERMIT REVENUE (CONTINUED)

For example, the City of Lake Elmo's 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, a year in which the City reported excess building permit revenue of \$1.72 million dollars, states:

### ■ CITY OF LAKE ELMO

"The general fund's total fund balance increased by \$220,289 during the current [2017] fiscal year due primarily to an increase in building permits and related revenue during the year."

Source: "Comprehensive Annual Financial Report For The Year Ending December 31, 2017." City of Lake Elmo.

The City of Otsego declined to state how it accounted for its \$1 million in excess building permit revenue in 2018. The City's 2018 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report indicates that the excess revenue was likely placed into the City's general fund, with additional carryover dedicated to capital improvements:

### ■ CITY OF OTSEGO

"During 2018, the fund balance increased \$223,022 (5.73%) from the previous year. The primary reason for the increase is that licenses and permits revenue exceeded budgeted expectations by \$796,668. In accordance with the City's fund balance policy, a transfer of \$948,076 was made to the Revolving Capital Improvement fund. That policy indicates that any fund balance exceeding 45% of the subsequent years budget will be transferred, and set aside for future capital expenditures."

Source: "Comprehensive Annual Financial Report For The Year Ending December 31, 2018." City of Otsego.

The City of Woodbury, one of the highest growth cities in the state for the past two decades, wrote in its 2018 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report:

### ■ CITY OF WOODBURY

"With the growth the City has experienced over the last two decades the City has been able to budget the building permits as a significant revenue source."

Source: "Comprehensive Annual Financial Report For The Year Ending December 31, 2018." City of Woodbury.

The City of Shakopee, which was sued over misuse of building permit fees in 2004, reported \$1.4 million in excess building permit fee revenue in 2018. In its 2018 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, it was noted that:

### ■ CITY OF SHAKOPEE

"The transfers out of the General Fund [\$2.19 million] are excess revenues over expenditures as a result of strong building permits and recreational revenues related to the refurbished community center and ice arena. These transfers out will be used for one-time future capital expenditures within various capital improvement projects."

Source: "Comprehensive Annual Financial Report For The Year Ending December 31, 2018." City of Shakopee.

These statements, some of which do not delineate between gross and excess revenue, are all from cities with high reported excess building permit revenue. The data as presented in the existing format used by the Department of Labor and Industry makes it impossible to tell which types of building permit and inspection activity is driving these excess funds, as well as how much of the reported expenditures are administrative or overhead costs. Review of these cities' Statements of Income and Expenditures for the noted years shows that despite an increase in revenue, there was not a correlative increase in costs.

# MUNICIPAL CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT FINANCE ANNUAL REPORTING

Minnesota municipal entities are required under Minnesota State Statute 326B.145 to file an Annual Report of construction- and development-related income and expenditures with the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry. The reporting threshold requires any municipal entity collecting \$5,000 or more in construction- and development-related fees in a calendar year to submit its report by June 30 the following year.

## INCREASING THE COMPLIANCE RATE

Building permit fees was a topic the Housing Affordability Institute attempted to study in early 2019, but researchers found low compliance with the state requirement of submitting Annual Reports of construction and development finances. From 2014 to 2017, no more than 108 municipalities filed the statutorily mandated Annual Report.

Concerned over this lack of compliance, homebuilders and remodelers asked the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry in March 2019 to encourage increased compliance by municipalities, and the Housing Affordability Institute presented a report on compliance to the Department of Labor and Industry in April 2019.

2014 REPORT YEAR	2015 REPORT YEAR	2016 REPORT YEAR	2017 REPORT YEAR	2018 REPORT YEAR
101 Entities	108 Entities	108 Entities	102 Entities	262 Entities
92 CITIES	99 CITIES	98 CITIES	94 CITIES	230 CITIES
8 COUNTIES	8 COUNTIES	8 COUNTIES	6 COUNTIES	10 COUNTIES
1 TOWNSHIP	1 TOWNSHIP	2 TOWNSHIPS	2 TOWNSHIPS	22 TOWNSHIPS

*Source: Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry. As reported by July 17, 2019*

## INCREASING THE COMPLIANCE RATE (CONTINUED)

As of July 17, 2019, after a concerted effort by the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry to increase compliance with the Annual Report statute, 262 Minnesota municipalities filed their 2018 Annual Reports, with another 74 municipalities contacting the Department of Labor and Industry stating that the municipality did not meet the \$5,000 report threshold.

Compliance has increased substantially due to the efforts of the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry but there are several notable municipalities in which a high number of building permits were issued in 2018 for which no report was filed by July 31, 2019, including:

### HIGH-GROWTH CITIES WITH NO 2018 ANNUAL REPORT ON FILE

YEARS REPORTED

CITY (2018 UNITS)	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Apple Valley (43 Permit, 275 Units)	✓				
Chisago Lake Township (57 Units, 57 Permits)					
Delano (45 Permits, 45 Units)					
East Bethel (33 Permits, 100 Units)					
Lake Elmo (265 Permits, 278 Units)			✓	✓	
Minneapolis (171 Permits, 3,180 Units)					
Orono (57 Permits, 57 Units)					
Rogers (112 Permits, 174 Units)					
St. Paul* (More Than 80 New Residential Permits)					
White Bear Township (13 Permits, 13 Units)					

Permit counts are from data supplied by Housing First Minnesota from Keystone Reports for permits for residential construction, Jan. 1, 2018 - Dec. 20, 2018.

\* Number of St. Paul new housing units not available and includes mixed-use, data via City of St. Paul

## IMPROVEMENT OF REPORTING FORMAT

The form created by the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry provides a clear picture of the toplines of municipal construction and development finances, but it lacks the appropriate detail regarding revenue and expenses for the different types of permitting and inspection activity occurring within a municipality.

To gain a clearer picture of both fee revenue and expenditures, researchers contacted the 19 municipalities, seeking information on new residential construction's share of related expenses. Those responding all reported the proportion of expenses stemming from new construction is not tracked, which raised more questions about how proportionality is established. If a municipality

does not know how much it costs the city to perform an inspection and review a plan for any given project, be it a deck, a kitchen remodel, a new school or a new home, how does it know if the fee meets the requirement for proportionality?

Given the questions raised about the proportionality of building permit fees and high excess building permit revenue in select cities, access to this information would be pertinent in establishing whether building permit fees for various types of activity appropriately reflect the municipalities' costs and maintain consistency with statutory requirements.

# CONCLUSION

Greater housing affordability and fairness for homeowners in Minnesota will require increased transparency from local governments and a reimagining of the way the housing policies are implemented by local and state government entities.

Based on the data supplied by Minnesota municipalities, building permitting and inspection may be a significant source of revenue for some cities, which runs counter to state law and is a contributing factor in the housing affordability crisis. Missed in the adoption of the fee schedules annually by municipalities is that these millions of dollars of fees are paid for not by the builder or developer at the time of permitting, but ultimately by new homebuyers over the life of the mortgage (for owner-occupied housing) or property owners.

## BUILDING PERMIT AND INSPECTION FINANCES

### PROPORTIONALITY OF BUILDING PERMIT FEES

With \$78 million in excess building permit-related revenue reported in the past five years, it's clear that proportionality questions must be carefully reviewed.

#### RECOMMENDATION 1

### RETHINK PERMITTING AND INSPECTIONS

Policy makers could examine alternatives to current permitting and inspection practices to ensure building permit fees are proportionate to the cost of the service provided. These alternatives could include transitioning from valuation-based building permit fees to square-footage-based building permit fees, increased use of contracted, private, licensed building officials, shared use of building officials, or transitioning to centralized permitting and inspection through the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry.

### USE OF EXCESS REVENUE FROM FEES

The collection and use of the building permit fees that result in excess revenue illustrate one of the areas in which costs by the government can be reviewed to achieve greater home affordability. The placement of this excess revenue in the general fund or use as a primary funding source for remodeling City Hall certainly calls into question the proportionality of some municipalities' building permit fees.

#### RECOMMENDATION 2

### REFUNDS TO HOMEOWNERS

Place a requirement into Minnesota State Statutes or Minnesota Rules Chapter 1300 that municipalities must place all revenue derived from building permit fees into a segregated account and further that they must refund over-collected building permit and inspections fees to homeowners or property owners.

#### RECOMMENDATION 3

### EXAMINATION OF BUILDING PERMIT REVENUE

Further examination by the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry of city building permit and inspection finances for 2017, 2018 and 2019 is recommended.

# MUNICIPAL CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT ANNUAL REPORTS

## REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The efforts to urge municipal reporting greatly increased the compliance of municipal Annual Reports filed under Minnesota State Statute 326B.145, yet more work is needed.

An initial review of 2018 building permit data shows that numerous municipalities have yet to file an Annual Report. With questions raised regarding the proportionality of building permit fees for the years 2014-2018 based on what has thus far been reported, and the increased need for transparency as it relates to housing affordability, efforts in this arena must be redoubled.

### RECOMMENDATION 4

## COMPLIANCE AUTHORITY

Currently, the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry has enforcement authority under Minnesota State Statute 326B.145 to further increase reporting compliance, including the authority to assess penalties for noncompliance. Utilization of this statutory authority could increase compliance and transparency.

## REPORTING FORMAT

The current Annual Report form developed by the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry provides a high-level overview of construction and development income and expenditures of municipalities, but lacks detailed information necessary for greater analysis. Municipalities also reported that information related to residential construction's share of costs is not available nor is it tracked.

**102      262**

2017 ANNUAL  
REPORTS FILED

2018 ANNUAL  
REPORTS FILED\*

*\*Plus an additional 74 municipalities that reported to the Department of Labor and Industry revenue that was below the filing requirement. Information on municipalities under the reporting threshold was not provided for the years 2014-2017.*

### RECOMMENDATION 5

## REVISE THE ANNUAL REPORT

As State Statute 326B.145 allows the Department of Labor and Industry to determine the format of the Annual Report, a revised form with detailed information on the type of construction activity and its effect on city finances is in order. Information that could be added includes a breakdown of which building permit types are driving both revenue and costs.

A proposed revised form is found in Appendix Figure 3.

Fixing building permit fees will not in and of itself solve the housing affordability challenges faced by a growing number of Minnesotans. Building permit fees, however, illustrate one of the many areas in which Minnesota housing policy has fallen short for the past few decades, resulting in inefficiencies ultimately paid for by homebuyers. Building permit fees are the simplest of these inefficiencies to fix: charge new homebuyers what it costs the permitting municipality—in short, embrace proportionality.

Additionally, greater transparency from local governments is needed, as is greater enforcement of reporting requirements from the Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry. This will be invaluable as policymakers consider ways in which the permitting and inspections process can be reconfigured.

# NOTES

## **INTRODUCTION**

Erickson, Nicholas. *Priced Out: The True Cost of Minnesota Broken Housing Market*. Housing Affordability Institute. April 2019.

“Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report Compliance.” Housing Affordability Institute. April 2019.

Office of the Revisor of Statutes. “Minnesota Administrative Rules 1300.0160.” State of Minnesota. January 23, 2015.

Office of the Revisor of Statutes. “Minnesota State Statutes, Chapter 326B, Section 145.” Minnesota State Legislature. April 26, 2010.

## **PROPORTIONALITY OF FEES**

Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry. Reported Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report Data, 2014 Report Year. May 20, 2019.

Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry. Reported Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report Data, 2015-2018 Report Years. Provided July 17, 2019.

Merry, Joel. “Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report.” City of Minnetonka. July 19, 2019.

*Dolan v. City of Tigard*. 512 U. S. 374 (1994). United States Supreme Court.

Minnesota Construction Codes and Licensing Division. “Minnesota State Building Code, Code Adoption Guide.” Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry. Jan. 14 2016.

Martens, Brad. Staff Report: City Hall Remodel Finance Plan. November 8, 2018.

Corcoran City Council. City Council Meeting Video. City of Corcoran November 8, 2018.

City of Corcoran. City Council Meeting Minutes. November 8, 2018.

Martens, Brad. “Staff Report: City Hall Remodel - Design, Finance Plan, and Contractor.” May 9, 2019.

Martens, Brad. “Memo: 2019 Budget Discussions. City of Corcoran. July 12, 2018.

Lake Elmo Finance Department. “Comprehensive Annual Financial Report For The Year Ending December 31, 2017.” City of Lake Elmo. June 29, 2018.

Finance Department. “Comprehensive Annual Financial Report For The Year Ending December 31, 2018.” City of Otsego. May 29, 2019.

Finance Department. “Comprehensive Annual Financial Report For The Year Ending December 31, 2018.” City of Woodbury. May 23, 2019.

Department of Finance. “Comprehensive Annual Financial Report For The Year Ending December 31, 2018.” City of Shakopee. May 14, 2019.

## **APPENDIX**

Flaherty, Adam. “Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report.” City of Otsego. June 18, 2018.

Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry. Reported Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report Data, 2014 Report Year. May 20, 2019.

Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry. Reported Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report Data, 2015-2018 Report Years. Provided July 17, 2019.

Merry, Joel. “Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report.” City of Minnetonka. July 19, 2019.

# APPENDIX

**Figure 1: Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report, Otsego 2018**

Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry  
 Construction Codes and Licensing Division  
 Building Codes and Standards      443 Lafayette Road N., St. Paul, MN 55155-4341  
 Phone: (651) 284-5068 Fax: (651) 284-5749  
[www.dli.mn.gov](http://www.dli.mn.gov)

**MUNICIPAL CONSTRUCTION and DEVELOPMENT FEE REVENUE and EXPENSES ANNUAL REPORT**

Reporting Period Ending December 31, 2018, as required by Minnesota Statute 326B.145

**I. Municipality**

Municipality City of Otsego	County Wright	Telephone No. (Include area code) 763.441.2593
Address 8899 Nashua Ave NE	City, State, Zip Elk River, MN 55330	

**II. Building Inspection Permits**

	Type of Permit	Number of Permits	Number of Units	Valuation
1.	New Single-Family Dwelling	274	274	84,071,952.00
2.	New Multi-Family Dwelling	5	26	5,823,368.00
3.	New Commercial/Industrial/Institutional	7	—	3,343,033.00
4.	Addition/Alteration	247	—	15,546,969.00
5.	Other	613	—	4,050,961.00

**III. Fee Revenue And Expenses Associated With Building Permits and Inspections**

FEE REVENUE			EXPENSES		
6.	Permit Fees	941,949.00	10.	Building Inspection Expenses	392,268.00
7.	Plan Review Fees	382,833.00			
8.	Other Fees	69,741.00			
9.	<b>TOTAL FEE REVENUE</b>	<b>1,394,523.00</b>			

**IV. Fee Revenue And Expenses Associated With Development**

FEE REVENUE			EXPENSES		
11.	Administrative Fees	38,943.00	16.	Administrative Expenses	38,943.00
12.	Engineering Fees	485,061.00	17.	Engineering Expenses	485,061.00
13.	Planning and Zoning Fees	51,052.00	18.	Planning and Zoning Expenses	51,052.00
14.	Other Fees	0.00	19.	Other Expenses	0.00
15.	<b>TOTAL FEE REVENUE</b>	<b>575,056.00</b>	20.	<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b>575,056.00</b>

**V. Fee Revenue And Capital Expenditures Associated With Development-related Infrastructure**

FEE REVENUE			CAPITAL EXPENDITURES		
21.	Infrastructure Fees	5,013,560.00	25.	Infrastructure Expenditure	2,341,222.00
22.	Park Dedication Fees	Cash 1,195,086.00	26.	Park Expenditures	988,091.00
23.	Other Fees	Land 20,593.00	27.	Other Expenditures	0.00
24.	<b>TOTAL FEE REVENUE</b>	<b>6,229,239.00</b>	28.	<b>TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>3,329,313.00</b>

**CERTIFICATION:** I hereby certify that information contained here to be in an accurate representation of fees collected and expenses incurred.

Name/Title of Official Completing Form Adam Flaherty - Finance Director	Telephone No. (Include Area Code) 763.441.4414	Date 6/18/2019 10:58:57 AM
--	---	-------------------------------

Source: Flaherty, Adam. "Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report." City of Otsego. June 18, 2018.

**Figure 2: Municipal Permit and Inspection Annual Report Data Of Permit, 2014-2018**

MUNICIPALITY	PERMIT FEE REVENUE	PLAN REVIEW FEES	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE REVENUE	BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES	TOTAL LESS EXPENSE
2014						
Carver County	\$172,945	\$93,356	\$8,035	<b>\$274,336</b>	\$307,843	<b>(\$33,507)</b>
Chisago County	\$282,359	\$160,148	\$78,192	<b>\$520,699</b>	\$592,081	<b>(\$71,382)</b>
City of Aitkin	\$39,350	\$24,065	\$9,424	<b>\$72,839</b>	\$68,606	<b>\$4,233</b>
City of Albert Lea	\$135,212	\$42,228	\$33,081	<b>\$210,521</b>	\$323,894	<b>(\$113,373)</b>
City of Andover	\$300,381	\$79,571	\$0	<b>\$379,952</b>	\$810,913	<b>(\$430,961)</b>
City of Apple Valley	\$814,630	\$287,138	\$201,045	<b>\$1,302,813</b>	\$1,100,013	<b>\$202,800</b>
City of Avon	\$20,169	\$2,790	\$0	<b>\$22,959</b>	\$16,412	<b>\$6,547</b>
City of Bemidji	\$193,585	\$39,985	\$185,697	<b>\$419,267</b>	\$396,730	<b>\$22,537</b>
City of Benson *	\$21,180	\$0	\$0	<b>\$21,180</b>	\$35,000	<b>(\$13,820)</b>
City of Big Lake	\$143,901	\$58,958	\$9,260	<b>\$212,119</b>	\$198,899	<b>\$13,220</b>
City of Bird Island	\$10,752	\$0	\$0	<b>\$10,752</b>	\$8,906	<b>\$1,846</b>
City of Blooming Prairie	\$10,748	\$9,734	\$870	<b>\$21,352</b>	\$17,613	<b>\$3,739</b>
City of Bloomington	\$1,329,226	\$812,676	\$1,464,648	<b>\$3,606,550</b>	\$2,690,328	<b>\$916,222</b>
City of Braham	\$9,738	\$3,917	\$934	<b>\$14,589</b>	\$47,002	<b>(\$32,413)</b>
City of Buffalo *	\$115,151	\$51,732	\$452,935	<b>\$619,818</b>	\$99,582	<b>\$520,236</b>
City of Burnsville *	\$518,195	\$201,480	\$552,938	<b>\$1,272,613</b>	\$952,230	<b>\$320,383</b>
City of Cambridge	\$201,408	\$0	\$10,360	<b>\$211,768</b>	\$394,401	<b>(\$182,633)</b>
City of Cannon Falls	\$15,550	\$8,821	\$450	<b>\$24,821</b>	\$31,437	<b>(\$6,616)</b>
City of Centerville	\$68,441	\$1,701	\$0	<b>\$70,142</b>	\$112,769	<b>(\$42,627)</b>
City of Chanhassen	\$579,695	\$300,111	\$235,035	<b>\$1,114,841</b>	\$997,631	<b>\$117,210</b>
City of Chaska	\$457,698	\$214,764	\$212,758	<b>\$885,220</b>	\$351,176	<b>\$534,044</b>
City of Chisago City	\$26,547	\$5,804	\$1,691	<b>\$34,042</b>	\$135,245	<b>(\$101,203)</b>
City of Columbia Heights	\$109,114	\$25,154	\$40,251	<b>\$174,519</b>	\$244,753	<b>(\$70,234)</b>
City of Columbus	\$63,657	\$38,094	\$21,281	<b>\$123,032</b>	\$106,553	<b>\$16,479</b>
City of Dellwood	\$53,922	\$27,694	\$3,300	<b>\$84,916</b>	\$33,911	<b>\$51,005</b>
City of Eagle Lake	\$71,447	\$29,097	\$14,277	<b>\$114,821</b>	\$57,108	<b>\$57,713</b>
City of Eden Prairie	\$2,746,242	\$646,212	\$1,476	<b>\$3,393,930</b>	\$2,572,596	<b>\$821,334</b>
City of Edina	\$2,333,749	\$937,033	\$49,386	<b>\$3,320,168</b>	\$2,338,251	<b>\$981,917</b>
City of Elk River	\$315,532	\$146,091	\$95,135	<b>\$556,758</b>	\$2,048,249	<b>(\$1,491,491)</b>
City of Elko New Market	\$61,292	\$28,694	\$9,665	<b>\$99,651</b>	\$81,513	<b>\$18,138</b>
City of Excelsior	\$107,940	\$68,924	\$0	<b>\$176,864</b>	\$79,599	<b>\$97,265</b>
City of Falcon Heights	\$34,852	\$3,366	\$9,263	<b>\$47,481</b>	\$60,254	<b>(\$12,773)</b>
City of Faribault	\$145,584	\$64,103	\$32,495	<b>\$242,182</b>	\$281,879	<b>(\$39,697)</b>
City of Fergus Falls	\$65,850	\$0	\$16,570	<b>\$82,420</b>	\$75,150	<b>\$7,270</b>
City of Forest Lake	\$393,254	\$171,997	\$0	<b>\$565,251</b>	\$489,373	<b>\$75,878</b>
City of Glencoe	\$55,655	\$32,868	\$12,434	<b>\$100,957</b>	\$67,972	<b>\$32,985</b>
City of Golden Valley	\$553,228	\$237,098	\$413,032	<b>\$1,203,358</b>	\$1,032,726	<b>\$170,632</b>
City of Grand Rapids	\$149,416	\$73,690	\$111	<b>\$223,217</b>	\$354,376	<b>(\$131,159)</b>
City of Greenfield ***	\$41,168	\$22,269	\$11,086	<b>\$74,523</b>	\$0	<b>\$74,523</b>
City of Ham Lake	\$160,784	\$80,753	\$94,157	<b>\$335,694</b>	\$226,766	<b>\$108,928</b>
City of Hamburg	\$4,128	\$1,600	\$535	<b>\$6,263</b>	\$5,274	<b>\$989</b>
City of Hugo	\$190,178	\$95,584	\$31,947	<b>\$317,709</b>	\$447,791	<b>(\$130,082)</b>
City of Inver Grove Heights	\$577,047	\$251,433	\$280,906	<b>\$1,109,386</b>	\$907,848	<b>\$201,538</b>
City of Isanti	\$97,614	\$44,666	\$38,011	<b>\$180,291</b>	\$123,354	<b>\$56,937</b>
City of La Prairie	\$0	\$1,878	\$5,678	<b>\$7,556</b>	\$11,707	<b>(\$4,151)</b>
City of Lakeville	\$1,217,465	\$389,236	\$344,486	<b>\$1,951,187</b>	\$1,591,468	<b>\$359,719</b>
City of Lauderdale	\$15,603	\$5,223	\$2,386	<b>\$23,212</b>	\$17,856	<b>\$5,356</b>
City of Lester Prairie	\$11,979	\$5,231	\$1,560	<b>\$18,770</b>	\$17,019	<b>\$1,751</b>
City of Lexington **	\$5,676	\$2,250	\$0	<b>\$7,926</b>	\$0	<b>\$7,926</b>
City of Lindstrom	\$13,963	\$0	\$0	<b>\$13,963</b>	\$16,350	<b>(\$2,387)</b>
City of Little Canada	\$85,815	\$32,106	\$37,226	<b>\$155,147</b>	\$260,718	<b>(\$105,571)</b>
City of Mahtomedi	\$90,631	\$29,661	\$38,359	<b>\$158,651</b>	\$213,541	<b>(\$54,890)</b>
City of Maple Grove	\$1,203,109	\$795,187	\$721,714	<b>\$2,720,010</b>	\$3,516,897	<b>(\$796,887)</b>
City of Maplewood	\$1,028,162	\$625,500	\$761,214	<b>\$2,414,876</b>	\$1,445,861	<b>\$969,015</b>
City of Mendota Heights	\$249,943	\$85,918	\$39,662	<b>\$375,523</b>	\$293,114	<b>\$82,409</b>
City of Minnetonka	\$1,874,766	\$12,841	\$1,090,599	<b>\$2,978,206</b>	\$1,519,595	<b>\$1,458,611</b>
City of Minnetrista	\$349,048	\$223,187	\$0	<b>\$572,235</b>	\$373,260	<b>\$198,975</b>
City of Montevideo	\$42,217	\$16,399	\$4,756	<b>\$63,372</b>	\$113,411	<b>(\$50,039)</b>
City of Moorhead	\$560,205	\$108,369	\$57,853	<b>\$726,427</b>	\$415,310	<b>\$311,117</b>
City of Morris	\$54,014	\$23,960	\$0	<b>\$77,974</b>	\$31,884	<b>\$46,090</b>
City of Mound	\$153,177	\$63,790	\$41,618	<b>\$258,585</b>	\$366,995	<b>(\$108,410)</b>
City of New Prague	\$68,776	\$36,863	\$16,495	<b>\$122,134</b>	\$107,886	<b>\$14,248</b>
City of New Richland	\$5,451	\$2,023	\$190	<b>\$7,664</b>	\$15,513	<b>(\$7,849)</b>
City of New Ulm	\$167,898	\$39,237	\$0	<b>\$207,135</b>	\$199,949	<b>\$7,186</b>
City of Newport	\$24,598	\$15,220	\$16,949	<b>\$56,767</b>	\$14,442	<b>\$42,325</b>
City of Oak Park Heights	\$64,962	\$19,722	\$805	<b>\$85,489</b>	\$247,929	<b>(\$162,440)</b>
City of Owatonna	\$244,165	\$69,985	\$940	<b>\$315,090</b>	\$349,803	<b>(\$34,713)</b>

MUNICIPALITY	PERMIT FEE REVENUE	PLAN REVIEW FEES	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE REVENUE	BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES	TOTAL LESS EXPENSE
City of Plymouth	\$2,193,460	\$1,017,069	\$1,001,987	<b>\$4,212,516</b>	\$1,663,843	<b>\$2,548,673</b>
City of Prior Lake	\$387,188	\$229,070	\$106,253	<b>\$722,511</b>	\$621,017	<b>\$101,494</b>
City of Proctor	\$14,798	\$5,309	\$0	<b>\$20,107</b>	\$16,283	<b>\$3,824</b>
City of Ramsey	\$226,624	\$96,144	\$139,266	<b>\$462,034</b>	\$1,055,719	( <b>\$593,685</b> )
City of Richfield	\$408,413	\$125,023	\$278,008	<b>\$811,444</b>	\$1,017,012	( <b>\$205,568</b> )
City of Robbinsdale	\$110,575	\$38,799	\$60,855	<b>\$210,229</b>	\$231,905	( <b>\$21,676</b> )
City of Rochester	\$1,442,241	\$761,507	\$1,292,813	<b>\$3,496,561</b>	\$2,775,392	<b>\$721,169</b>
City of Roseau	\$22,409	\$0	\$0	<b>\$22,409</b>	\$35,574	( <b>\$13,165</b> )
City of Rosemount	\$502,151	\$212,622	\$158,615	<b>\$873,388</b>	\$566,402	<b>\$306,986</b>
City of Sartell	\$169,299	\$58,444	\$111,466	<b>\$339,209</b>	\$438,127	( <b>\$98,918</b> )
City of Shoreview	\$359,025	\$127,567	\$180,127	<b>\$666,719</b>	\$891,505	( <b>\$224,786</b> )
City of Silver Bay	\$3,348	\$324	\$68	<b>\$3,740</b>	\$5,480	( <b>\$1,740</b> )
City of Silver Lake	\$6,757	\$3,467	\$100	<b>\$10,324</b>	\$10,146	<b>\$178</b>
City of St. Francis	\$53,047	\$24,393	\$13,720	<b>\$91,160</b>	\$299,133	( <b>\$207,973</b> )
City of St. Louis Park	\$1,717,577	\$518,486	\$36,025	<b>\$2,272,088</b>	\$1,493,683	<b>\$778,405</b>
City of St. Michael	\$189,954	\$52,854	\$22,873	<b>\$265,681</b>	\$349,306	( <b>\$83,625</b> )
City of Stacy	\$22,404	\$12,067	\$2,030	<b>\$36,501</b>	\$35,373	<b>\$1,128</b>
City of Staples	\$15,321	\$4,564	\$6	<b>\$19,891</b>	\$20,855	( <b>\$964</b> )
City of Stephen	\$375	\$0	\$0	<b>\$375</b>	\$362	<b>\$13</b>
City of Stewart ***	\$285,900	\$149,713	\$33,400	<b>\$469,013</b>	\$0	<b>\$469,013</b>
City of Twin Valley	\$175	\$0	\$0	<b>\$175</b>	\$0	<b>\$175</b>
City of Two Harbors	\$14,415	\$2,246	\$0	<b>\$16,661</b>	\$19,790	( <b>\$3,129</b> )
City of Victoria	\$327,678	\$206,539	\$38,167	<b>\$572,384</b>	\$305,920	<b>\$266,464</b>
City of Walker	\$4,755	\$832	\$0	<b>\$5,587</b>	\$12,417	( <b>\$6,830</b> )
City of Wayzata	\$394,339	\$239,769	\$183,946	<b>\$818,054</b>	\$457,517	<b>\$360,537</b>
City of Winnebago	\$3,112	\$0	\$0	<b>\$3,112</b>	\$7,263	( <b>\$4,151</b> )
City of Woodbury	\$1,803,472	\$820,678	\$667,475	<b>\$3,291,625</b>	\$1,373,433	<b>\$1,918,192</b>
Greenvale Township ***	\$3,824	\$2,485	\$1	<b>\$6,310</b>	\$0	<b>\$6,310</b>
Hennepin County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Kandiyohi County	\$171,806	\$111,674	\$477	<b>\$283,957</b>	\$186,298	<b>\$97,659</b>
Olmsted County	\$87,794	\$40,157	\$34,459	<b>\$162,410</b>	\$290,443	( <b>\$128,033</b> )
Scott County	\$361,507	\$207,364	\$70,164	<b>\$639,035</b>	\$553,767	<b>\$85,268</b>
Stearns County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Washington County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
<b>2015</b>						
Carver County	\$205,667	\$109,830	\$9,427	<b>\$324,924</b>	\$358,656	( <b>\$33,732</b> )
Chisago County	\$312,998	\$174,120	\$60,522	<b>\$547,640</b>	\$623,667	( <b>\$76,027</b> )
City of Albert Lea	\$217,117	\$42,509	\$61,895	<b>\$321,521</b>	\$353,696	( <b>\$32,175</b> )
City of Andover	\$383,549	\$115,383	\$0	<b>\$498,932</b>	\$780,672	( <b>\$281,740</b> )
City of Avon	\$58,517	\$20,934	\$0	<b>\$79,451</b>	\$73,624	<b>\$5,827</b>
City of Bemidji	\$216,407	\$68,972	\$223,463	<b>\$508,842</b>	\$465,405	<b>\$43,437</b>
City of Benson *	\$13,093	\$874	\$0	<b>\$13,967</b>	\$35,000	( <b>\$21,033</b> )
City of Big Lake	\$152,992	\$62,321	\$5,346	<b>\$220,659</b>	\$184,962	<b>\$35,697</b>
City of Bird Island	\$7,309	\$0	\$0	<b>\$7,309</b>	\$6,082	<b>\$1,227</b>
City of Blooming Prairie	\$15,666	\$2,993	\$242	<b>\$18,901</b>	\$14,958	<b>\$3,943</b>
City of Bloomington	\$1,574,965	\$874,127	\$1,445,885	<b>\$3,894,977</b>	\$2,754,869	<b>\$1,140,108</b>
City of Braham	\$5,578	\$746	\$150	<b>\$6,474</b>	\$53,715	( <b>\$47,241</b> )
City of Breckenridge ***	\$17,190	\$0	\$0	<b>\$17,190</b>	\$0	<b>\$17,190</b>
City of Buffalo *	\$180,730	\$99,512	\$446,375	<b>\$726,617</b>	\$172,702	<b>\$553,915</b>
City of Buffalo Lake	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
City of Burnsville *	\$577,762	\$271,632	\$520,668	<b>\$1,370,062</b>	\$988,262	<b>\$381,800</b>
City of Cannon Falls	\$20,300	\$8,088	\$1,050	<b>\$29,438</b>	\$30,552	( <b>\$1,114</b> )
City of Chanhassen	\$612,850	\$280,141	\$250,332	<b>\$1,143,323</b>	\$987,355	<b>\$155,968</b>
City of Chaska	\$563,432	\$289,337	\$251,415	<b>\$1,104,184</b>	\$970,589	<b>\$133,595</b>
City of Clear Lake	\$2,600	\$0	\$0	<b>\$2,600</b>	\$2,874	( <b>\$274</b> )
City of Columbia Heights	\$277,875	\$40,274	\$59,050	<b>\$377,199</b>	\$292,356	<b>\$84,843</b>
City of Columbus	\$47,295	\$23,901	\$17,078	<b>\$88,274</b>	\$106,305	( <b>\$18,031</b> )
City of Dayton	\$241,030	\$144,999	\$0	<b>\$386,029</b>	\$58,196	<b>\$327,833</b>
City of Dellwood	\$46,450	\$17,261	\$6,400	<b>\$70,111</b>	\$58,169	<b>\$11,942</b>
City of Eagle Lake	\$71,210	\$28,136	\$11,142	<b>\$110,488</b>	\$65,129	<b>\$45,359</b>
City of Eden Prairie	\$1,878,593	\$460,312	\$33,007	<b>\$2,371,912</b>	\$2,478,599	( <b>\$106,687</b> )
City of Edina	\$2,746,659	\$1,164,019	\$888,241	<b>\$4,798,919</b>	\$2,723,968	<b>\$2,074,951</b>
City of Elk River	\$344,663	\$139,292	\$129,355	<b>\$613,310</b>	\$2,106,682	( <b>\$1,493,372</b> )
City of Excelsior	\$59,131	\$37,960	\$0	<b>\$97,091</b>	\$95,330	<b>\$1,761</b>
City of Fergus Falls	\$124,757	\$0	\$19,525	<b>\$144,282</b>	\$91,511	<b>\$52,771</b>
City of Forest Lake	\$574,857	\$167,197	\$0	<b>\$742,054</b>	\$409,072	<b>\$332,982</b>
City of Fridley	\$581,173	\$119,629	\$243,011	<b>\$943,813</b>	\$726,652	<b>\$217,161</b>
City of Glencoe	\$87,449	\$45,381	\$13,512	<b>\$146,342</b>	\$96,301	<b>\$50,041</b>
City of Golden Valley	\$638,113	\$273,477	\$463,041	<b>\$1,374,631</b>	\$1,003,041	<b>\$371,590</b>
City of Grand Rapids	\$203,894	\$89,049	\$957	<b>\$293,900</b>	\$380,136	( <b>\$86,236</b> )

MUNICIPALITY	PERMIT FEE REVENUE	PLAN REVIEW FEES	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE REVENUE	BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES	TOTAL LESS EXPENSE
City of Greenfield ***	\$38,485	\$21,241	\$8,960	<b>\$68,686</b>	\$0	<b>\$68,686</b>
City of Ham Lake	\$187,512	\$83,583	\$103,975	<b>\$375,070</b>	\$259,994	<b>\$115,076</b>
City of Hamburg	\$2,781	\$832	\$180	<b>\$3,793</b>	\$1,856	<b>\$1,937</b>
City of Hanover	\$27,171	\$14,300	\$3,639	<b>\$45,110</b>	\$66,460	<b>(\$21,350)</b>
City of Hilltop	\$1,626	\$0	\$0	<b>\$1,626</b>	\$1,200	<b>\$426</b>
City of Hugo	\$196,618	\$110,868	\$74,212	<b>\$381,698</b>	\$478,424	<b>(\$96,726)</b>
City of Independence	\$115,837	\$17,906	\$0	<b>\$133,743</b>	\$103,061	<b>\$30,682</b>
City of Inver Grove Heights	\$360,486	\$166,783	\$149,880	<b>\$677,149</b>	\$848,069	<b>(\$170,920)</b>
City of Isanti	\$90,193	\$45,931	\$26,390	<b>\$162,514</b>	\$151,435	<b>\$11,079</b>
City of La Prairie	\$9,989	\$4,834	\$0	<b>\$14,823</b>	\$15,675	<b>(\$852)</b>
City of Lakeville	\$1,337,343	\$558,795	\$514,008	<b>\$2,410,146</b>	\$1,689,941	<b>\$720,205</b>
City of Lauderdale	\$22,613	\$6,690	\$7,065	<b>\$36,368</b>	\$36,369	<b>(\$1)</b>
City of Lester Prairie	\$20,411	\$13,453	\$9,709	<b>\$43,573</b>	\$29,042	<b>\$14,531</b>
City of Lindstrom	\$12,804	\$0	\$0	<b>\$12,804</b>	\$16,876	<b>(\$4,072)</b>
City of Little Canada	\$187,438	\$50,409	\$37,156	<b>\$275,003</b>	\$269,432	<b>\$5,571</b>
City of Lonsdale	\$67,712	\$46,234	\$2,868	<b>\$116,814</b>	\$116,814	<b>\$0</b>
City of Mahtomedi	\$200,131	\$56,722	\$0	<b>\$256,853</b>	\$227,307	<b>\$29,546</b>
City of Maple Grove	\$928,664	\$576,988	\$697,237	<b>\$2,202,889</b>	\$3,587,996	<b>(\$1,385,107)</b>
City of Maplewood	\$488,553	\$242,356	\$326,612	<b>\$1,057,521</b>	\$1,416,947	<b>(\$359,426)</b>
City of Medina	\$290,388	\$214,649	\$96,470	<b>\$601,507</b>	\$333,263	<b>\$268,244</b>
City of Mendota Heights	\$228,918	\$77,057	\$59,914	<b>\$365,889</b>	\$314,295	<b>\$51,594</b>
City of Milaca	\$16,011	\$4,128	\$1,100	<b>\$21,239</b>	\$53,467	<b>(\$32,228)</b>
City of Minnetonka	\$2,724,164	\$15,605	\$1,468,418	<b>\$4,208,187</b>	\$1,868,681	<b>\$2,339,506</b>
City of Minnetrista	\$487,959	\$250,416	\$0	<b>\$738,375</b>	\$314,810	<b>\$423,565</b>
City of Montevideo	\$43,521	\$11,943	\$3,098	<b>\$58,562</b>	\$113,271	<b>(\$54,709)</b>
City of Moorhead	\$509,319	\$72,967	\$55,109	<b>\$637,395</b>	\$501,500	<b>\$135,895</b>
City of Morris	\$47,365	\$19,162	\$43,418	<b>\$109,945</b>	\$44,209	<b>\$65,736</b>
City of Mound	\$200,951	\$98,647	\$42,416	<b>\$342,014</b>	\$358,862	<b>(\$16,848)</b>
City of New Prague	\$64,511	\$33,274	\$12,075	<b>\$109,860</b>	\$131,303	<b>(\$21,443)</b>
City of New Ulm	\$192,921	\$31,105	\$0	<b>\$224,026</b>	\$189,107	<b>\$34,919</b>
City of Oak Grove	\$106,948	\$37,275	\$0	<b>\$144,223</b>	\$200,000	<b>(\$55,777)</b>
City of Oak Park Heights	\$43,996	\$27,512	\$595	<b>\$72,103</b>	\$292,129	<b>(\$220,026)</b>
City of Owatonna	\$204,699	\$71,978	\$910	<b>\$277,587</b>	\$347,420	<b>(\$69,833)</b>
City of Pine City	\$36,743	\$16,630	\$2,400	<b>\$55,773</b>	\$79,314	<b>(\$23,541)</b>
City of Pine Island ***	\$38,921	\$13,941	\$4,050	<b>\$56,912</b>	\$0	<b>\$56,912</b>
City of Plato	\$1,153	\$244	\$0	<b>\$1,397</b>	\$1,192	<b>\$205</b>
City of Plymouth	\$2,806,341	\$1,286,178	\$998,005	<b>\$5,090,524</b>	\$2,085,376	<b>\$3,005,148</b>
City of Prior Lake	\$392,194	\$233,752	\$101,077	<b>\$727,023</b>	\$631,296	<b>\$95,727</b>
City of Ramsey	\$472,551	\$233,584	\$105,588	<b>\$811,723</b>	\$1,194,185	<b>(\$382,462)</b>
City of Richfield	\$304,790	\$96,563	\$286,679	<b>\$688,032</b>	\$1,075,008	<b>(\$386,976)</b>
City of Robbinsdale	\$127,449	\$51,177	\$91,729	<b>\$270,355</b>	\$274,161	<b>(\$3,806)</b>
City of Rochester	\$1,677,787	\$884,757	\$1,440,597	<b>\$4,003,141</b>	\$2,947,105	<b>\$1,056,036</b>
City of Roseau	\$18,411	\$0	\$0	<b>\$18,411</b>	\$40,342	<b>(\$21,931)</b>
City of Rosemount	\$430,536	\$185,281	\$181,474	<b>\$797,291</b>	\$579,664	<b>\$217,627</b>
City of Sartell	\$264,472	\$120,583	\$140,506	<b>\$525,561</b>	\$448,945	<b>\$76,616</b>
City of Sauk Rapids	\$142,641	\$86,126	\$27,635	<b>\$256,402</b>	\$272,027	<b>(\$15,625)</b>
City of Shakopee	\$151,570	\$244,887	\$722,096	<b>\$1,482,553</b>	\$645,720	<b>\$836,833</b>
City of Shoreview	\$279,832	\$53,030	\$138,901	<b>\$471,763</b>	\$897,385	<b>(\$425,622)</b>
City of Silver Bay	\$4,375	\$266	\$255	<b>\$4,896</b>	\$6,000	<b>(\$1,104)</b>
City of Silver Lake	\$7,322	\$3,326	\$81	<b>\$10,729</b>	\$9,074	<b>\$1,655</b>
City of St. Francis	\$75,807	\$37,550	\$21,333	<b>\$134,690</b>	\$349,764	<b>(\$215,074)</b>
City of St. Louis Park *	\$2,281,183	\$740,411	\$44,020	<b>\$3,065,614</b>	\$1,557,276	<b>\$1,508,338</b>
City of St. Michael	\$240,851	\$103,852	\$0	<b>\$344,703</b>	\$366,718	<b>(\$22,015)</b>
City of Stacy	\$11,200	\$3,685	\$1,752	<b>\$16,637</b>	\$18,609	<b>(\$1,972)</b>
City of Staples	\$36,644	\$15,015	\$1,188	<b>\$52,847</b>	\$46,838	<b>\$6,009</b>
City of Stephen	\$405	\$0	\$0	<b>\$405</b>	\$337	<b>\$68</b>
City of Stewart ***	\$714,900	\$450,239	\$125,175	<b>\$1,290,314</b>	\$0	<b>\$1,290,314</b>
City of Twin Valley	\$195	\$0	\$0	<b>\$195</b>	\$0	<b>\$195</b>
City of Two Harbors	\$28,085	\$0	\$0	<b>\$28,085</b>	\$10,696	<b>\$17,389</b>
City of Victoria	\$264,990	\$165,961	\$32,001	<b>\$462,952</b>	\$318,028	<b>\$144,924</b>
City of Walker	\$11,328	\$3,207	\$0	<b>\$14,535</b>	\$21,444	<b>(\$6,909)</b>
City of Wanamingo	\$10,597	\$5,718	\$0	<b>\$16,315</b>	\$16,315	<b>\$0</b>
City of Wayzata	\$338,617	\$181,917	\$129,282	<b>\$649,816</b>	\$516,678	<b>\$133,138</b>
City of White Bear Lake	\$343,619	\$121,314	\$142,850	<b>\$607,783</b>	\$811,767	<b>(\$203,984)</b>
City of Willmar	\$358,605	\$98,730	\$17,875	<b>\$475,210</b>	\$487,476	<b>(\$12,266)</b>
City of Woodbury	\$2,061,286	\$959,513	\$1,079,526	<b>\$4,100,325</b>	\$1,437,423	<b>\$2,662,902</b>
Greenvale Township***	\$0	\$0	\$19,013	<b>\$19,013</b>	\$0	<b>\$19,013</b>
Hennepin County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Kandiyohi County	\$124,738	\$81,098	\$288	<b>\$206,124</b>	\$195,206	<b>\$10,918</b>
Olmsted County	\$111,387	\$63,220	\$48,081	<b>\$222,688</b>	\$319,593	<b>(\$96,905)</b>

MUNICIPALITY	PERMIT FEE REVENUE	PLAN REVIEW FEES	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE REVENUE	BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES	TOTAL LESS EXPENSE
Scott County	\$332,447	\$194,890	\$62,793	<b>\$590,130</b>	\$567,065	<b>\$23,065</b>
Stearns County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Washington County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
<b>2016</b>						
Carver County	\$216,012	\$116,233	\$20,869	<b>\$353,114</b>	\$386,556	<b>(\$33,442)</b>
Chisago County	\$416,253	\$245,939	\$84,574	<b>\$746,766</b>	\$646,471	<b>\$100,295</b>
City of Aitkin	\$23,289	\$13,004	\$4,050	<b>\$40,343</b>	\$35,174	<b>\$5,169</b>
City of Albert Lea	\$120,462	\$44,067	\$34,409	<b>\$198,938</b>	\$373,711	<b>(\$174,773)</b>
City of Andover	\$550,954	\$173,674	\$0	<b>\$724,628</b>	\$828,016	<b>(\$103,388)</b>
City of Avon	\$22,966	\$1,100	\$0	<b>\$24,066</b>	\$21,938	<b>\$2,128</b>
City of Bemidji	\$244,280	\$76,409	\$197,565	<b>\$518,254</b>	\$448,341	<b>\$69,913</b>
City of Big Lake	\$264,808	\$113,924	\$29,970	<b>\$408,702</b>	\$209,038	<b>\$199,664</b>
City of Bird Island	\$3,879	\$0	\$0	<b>\$3,879</b>	\$3,510	<b>\$369</b>
City of Blaine	\$1,272,861	\$695,867	\$783,907	<b>\$2,752,635</b>	\$2,173,489	<b>\$579,146</b>
City of Blooming Prairie	\$10,868	\$5,669	\$407	<b>\$16,944</b>	\$13,955	<b>\$2,989</b>
City of Bloomington	\$886,442	\$566,402	\$983,853	<b>\$2,436,697</b>	\$2,920,343	<b>(\$483,646)</b>
City of Braham	\$11,421	\$3,270	\$1,608	<b>\$16,299</b>	\$46,934	<b>(\$30,635)</b>
City of Breckenridge ***	\$10,922	\$0	\$0	<b>\$10,922</b>	\$0	<b>\$10,922</b>
City of Buffalo *	\$163,904	\$91,212	\$374,267	<b>\$629,383</b>	\$169,722	<b>\$459,661</b>
City of Burnsville *	\$435,821	\$195,670	\$547,284	<b>\$1,178,775</b>	\$1,087,234	<b>\$91,541</b>
City of Cambridge	\$304,880	\$0	\$45,408	<b>\$350,288</b>	\$415,877	<b>(\$65,589)</b>
City of Cannon Falls	\$22,856	\$8,916	\$750	<b>\$32,522</b>	\$33,521	<b>(\$999)</b>
City of Carlton	\$5,672	\$473	\$0	<b>\$6,145</b>	\$5,915	<b>\$230</b>
City of Chanhassen	\$524,996	\$252,621	\$217,877	<b>\$995,494</b>	\$1,040,014	<b>(\$44,520)</b>
City of Chaska	\$509,790	\$225,881	\$176,191	<b>\$911,862</b>	\$1,038,607	<b>(\$126,745)</b>
City of Columbia Heights	\$230,911	\$89,294	\$83,172	<b>\$403,377</b>	\$327,773	<b>\$75,604</b>
City of Dellwood	\$39,489	\$20,407	\$5,300	<b>\$65,196</b>	\$37,458	<b>\$27,738</b>
City of Eagle Lake	\$32,786	\$18,444	\$6,926	<b>\$58,156</b>	\$39,918	<b>\$18,238</b>
City of Eden Prairie	\$1,158,487	\$453,099	\$486,549	<b>\$2,098,135</b>	\$2,494,425	<b>(\$396,290)</b>
City of Edina	\$3,780,899	\$1,284,956	\$975,434	<b>\$6,041,289</b>	\$2,699,224	<b>\$3,342,065</b>
City of Elk River	\$317,705	\$143,603	\$152,374	<b>\$613,682</b>	\$2,175,112	<b>(\$1,561,430)</b>
City of Excelsior	\$66,232	\$32,455	\$0	<b>\$98,687</b>	\$74,368	<b>\$24,319</b>
City of Falcon Heights	\$11,527	\$2,661	\$38,037	<b>\$52,225</b>	\$66,395	<b>(\$14,170)</b>
City of Faribault	\$313,562	\$156,212	\$113,089	<b>\$582,863</b>	\$351,833	<b>\$231,030</b>
City of Fergus Falls	\$84,802	\$0	\$34,814	<b>\$119,616</b>	\$121,338	<b>(\$1,722)</b>
City of Forest Lake	\$431,374	\$149,428	\$0	<b>\$580,802</b>	\$412,483	<b>\$168,319</b>
City of Fridley	\$400,391	\$174,240	\$244,385	<b>\$819,016</b>	\$609,605	<b>\$209,411</b>
City of Glencoe	\$164,352	\$65,510	\$8,139	<b>\$238,001</b>	\$150,502	<b>\$87,499</b>
City of Golden Valley	\$733,152	\$314,208	\$548,807	<b>\$1,596,167</b>	\$1,122,446	<b>\$473,721</b>
City of Grand Rapids	\$133,963	\$67,182	\$1,160	<b>\$202,305</b>	\$415,761	<b>(\$213,456)</b>
City of Greenfield ***	\$47,612	\$21,481	\$11,061	<b>\$80,154</b>	\$0	<b>\$80,154</b>
City of Ham Lake	\$188,783	\$89,636	\$142,382	<b>\$420,801</b>	\$307,970	<b>\$112,831</b>
City of Hamburg	\$7,789	\$3,522	\$851	<b>\$12,162</b>	\$8,871	<b>\$3,291</b>
City of Hampton	\$773,170	\$399,844	\$405,925	<b>\$1,578,939</b>	\$1,084,699	<b>\$494,240</b>
City of Hugo	\$285,196	\$150,420	\$73,096	<b>\$508,712</b>	\$554,471	<b>(\$45,759)</b>
City of Inver Grove Heights	\$549,333	\$250,431	\$215,492	<b>\$1,015,256</b>	\$944,507	<b>\$70,749</b>
City of Isanti	\$187,905	\$93,247	\$56,016	<b>\$337,168</b>	\$185,276	<b>\$151,892</b>
City of Lake Elmo	\$1,252,997	\$497,669	\$0	<b>\$1,750,666</b>	\$235,479	<b>\$1,515,187</b>
City of Lakeland	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
City of Lakeland Shores	\$400	\$0	\$500	<b>\$900</b>	\$0	<b>\$900</b>
City of Lakeville	\$1,548,066	\$611,765	\$595,211	<b>\$2,755,042</b>	\$1,822,946	<b>\$932,096</b>
City of Lauderdale	\$31,742	\$6,966	\$7,601	<b>\$46,309</b>	\$38,375	<b>\$7,934</b>
City of Lester Prairie	\$11,341	\$6,106	\$3,281	<b>\$20,728</b>	\$14,037	<b>\$6,691</b>
City of Lindstrom	\$17,823	\$0	\$0	<b>\$17,823</b>	\$17,500	<b>\$323</b>
City of Little Canada	\$260,044	\$87,035	\$120,144	<b>\$467,223</b>	\$289,621	<b>\$177,602</b>
City of Lonsdale	\$111,405	\$66,725	\$4,183	<b>\$182,313</b>	\$182,313	<b>\$0</b>
City of Mahtomedi	\$124,567	\$25,422	\$0	<b>\$149,989</b>	\$275,867	<b>(\$125,878)</b>
City of Maple Grove	\$867,606	\$534,805	\$605,366	<b>\$2,007,777</b>	\$3,727,523	<b>(\$1,719,746)</b>
City of Maplewood	\$550,526	\$283,139	\$361,336	<b>\$1,195,001</b>	\$1,335,902	<b>(\$140,901)</b>
City of Medina	\$297,673	\$229,773	\$93,457	<b>\$620,903</b>	\$324,625	<b>\$296,278</b>
City of Mendota Heights	\$227,755	\$57,827	\$60,922	<b>\$346,504</b>	\$311,832	<b>\$34,672</b>
City of Milaca	\$20,847	\$7,114	\$3,330	<b>\$31,291</b>	\$46,416	<b>(\$15,125)</b>
City of Minnetonka	\$1,817,794	\$17,090	\$1,157,983	<b>\$2,992,867</b>	\$1,881,159	<b>\$1,111,708</b>
City of Minnetrista	\$436,850	\$215,185	\$0	<b>\$652,035</b>	\$318,670	<b>\$333,365</b>
City of Montevideo	\$54,593	\$22,601	\$4,182	<b>\$81,376</b>	\$124,590	<b>(\$43,214)</b>
City of Moorhead	\$902,828	\$131,297	\$64,061	<b>\$1,098,186</b>	\$510,585	<b>\$587,601</b>
City of Mound	\$220,959	\$113,103	\$54,361	<b>\$388,423</b>	\$463,466	<b>(\$75,043)</b>
City of New Hope	\$242,967	\$124,288	\$235,136	<b>\$602,391</b>	\$511,272	<b>\$91,119</b>
City of New Prague	\$112,329	\$60,844	\$22,109	<b>\$195,282</b>	\$134,384	<b>\$60,898</b>
City of New Ulm	\$179,952	\$50,693	\$0	<b>\$230,645</b>	\$214,842	<b>\$15,803</b>

MUNICIPALITY	PERMIT FEE REVENUE	PLAN REVIEW FEES	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE REVENUE	BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES	TOTAL LESS EXPENSE
City of Oak Park Heights	\$152,274	\$38,929	\$1,715	<b>\$192,918</b>	\$274,626	<b>(\$81,708)</b>
City of Pine Island ***	\$21,447	\$4,806	\$4,750	<b>\$31,003</b>	\$0	<b>\$31,003</b>
City of Plato	\$4,951	\$3,321	\$2,852	<b>\$11,124</b>	\$6,954	<b>\$4,170</b>
City of Plymouth	\$2,107,122	\$816,417	\$1,099,629	<b>\$4,023,168</b>	\$2,415,060	<b>\$1,608,108</b>
City of Prior Lake	\$536,650	\$310,435	\$112,292	<b>\$959,377</b>	\$661,772	<b>\$297,605</b>
City of Ramsey	\$288,360	\$142,855	\$175,484	<b>\$606,699</b>	\$1,292,463	<b>(\$685,764)</b>
City of Rice Lake	\$49,027	\$0	\$0	<b>\$49,027</b>	\$16,607	<b>\$32,420</b>
City of Richfield	\$428,108	\$187,041	\$310,857	<b>\$926,006</b>	\$1,092,008	<b>(\$166,002)</b>
City of Robbinsdale	\$126,890	\$41,613	\$96,013	<b>\$264,516</b>	\$264,540	<b>(\$24)</b>
City of Rochester	\$1,515,238	\$784,672	\$1,424,327	<b>\$3,724,237</b>	\$3,001,334	<b>\$722,903</b>
City of Roseau	\$11,959	\$0	\$0	<b>\$11,959</b>	\$38,286	<b>(\$26,327)</b>
City of Rosemount	\$512,366	\$189,692	\$193,224	<b>\$895,282</b>	\$638,028	<b>\$257,254</b>
City of Sandstone	\$27,660	\$7,971	\$0	<b>\$35,631</b>	\$16,911	<b>\$18,720</b>
City of Sartell	\$263,164	\$128,674	\$149,282	<b>\$541,120</b>	\$467,233	<b>\$73,887</b>
City of Sauk Rapids	\$186,451	\$115,892	\$60,065	<b>\$362,408</b>	\$304,433	<b>\$57,975</b>
City of Shakopee	\$485,176	\$331,757	\$989,620	<b>\$1,806,553</b>	\$716,983	<b>\$1,089,570</b>
City of Shoreview	\$401,783	\$124,386	\$185,434	<b>\$711,603</b>	\$947,741	<b>(\$236,138)</b>
City of Silver Lake	\$13,726	\$5,711	\$0	<b>\$19,437</b>	\$17,104	<b>\$2,333</b>
City of St. Francis	\$95,161	\$52,021	\$22,779	<b>\$169,961</b>	\$353,640	<b>(\$183,679)</b>
City of St. Louis Park	\$2,355,059	\$686,734	\$50,218	<b>\$3,092,011</b>	\$2,146,011	<b>\$946,000</b>
City of St. Michael	\$359,675	\$149,999	\$3,265	<b>\$512,939</b>	\$364,511	<b>\$148,428</b>
City of Stacy	\$13,525	\$5,206	\$931	<b>\$19,662</b>	\$20,893	<b>(\$1,231)</b>
City of Staples	\$76,260	\$35,886	\$10,034	<b>\$122,180</b>	\$101,827	<b>\$20,353</b>
City of Stewart ***	\$462,725	\$300,613	\$89,025	<b>\$852,363</b>	\$0	<b>\$852,363</b>
City of Tracy	\$1,132,225	\$111,854	\$22,000	<b>\$1,266,079</b>	\$3,726,072	<b>(\$2,459,993)</b>
City of Twin Valley	\$150	\$0	\$0	<b>\$150</b>	\$0	<b>\$150</b>
City of Two Harbors	\$26,062	\$0	\$0	<b>\$26,062</b>	\$12,425	<b>\$13,637</b>
City of Victoria	\$406,227	\$245,996	\$48,951	<b>\$701,174</b>	\$316,141	<b>\$385,033</b>
City of Walker	\$10,464	\$3,260	\$0	<b>\$13,724</b>	\$20,213	<b>(\$6,489)</b>
City of Wanamingo	\$11,286	\$4,765	\$0	<b>\$16,051</b>	\$16,051	<b>\$0</b>
City of Wayzata	\$416,970	\$235,264	\$158,547	<b>\$810,781</b>	\$522,766	<b>\$288,015</b>
City of White Bear Lake	\$402,236	\$82,179	\$0	<b>\$484,415</b>	\$895,723	<b>(\$411,308)</b>
City of Woodbury	\$2,127,480	\$1,007,481	\$1,007,675	<b>\$4,142,636</b>	\$1,390,216	<b>\$2,752,420</b>
City of Zimmerman	\$78,130	\$46,490	\$16,274	<b>\$140,894</b>	\$64,389	<b>\$76,505</b>
Greenvale Township ***	\$0	\$0	\$3,343	<b>\$3,343</b>	\$0	<b>\$3,343</b>
Hennepin County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Kandiyohi County	\$138,636	\$90,113	\$13,081	<b>\$241,830</b>	\$265,396	<b>(\$23,566)</b>
Linwood Township	\$41,769	\$22,697	\$7,698	<b>\$72,164</b>	\$74,083	<b>(\$1,919)</b>
Olmsted County	\$106,796	\$62,137	\$61,257	<b>\$230,190</b>	\$313,122	<b>(\$82,932)</b>
Scott County	\$423,020	\$253,521	\$70,954	<b>\$747,495</b>	\$701,446	<b>\$46,049</b>
Stearns County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Washington County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
<b>2017</b>						
Carver County	\$264,506	\$145,255	\$22,363	<b>\$432,124</b>	\$4,555,495	<b>(\$4,123,371)</b>
Chisago County	\$358,711	\$189,292	\$90,479	<b>\$638,482</b>	\$687,144	<b>(\$48,662)</b>
City of Aitkin	\$48,587	\$28,989	\$12,101	<b>\$89,677</b>	\$43,845	<b>\$45,832</b>
City of Albert Lea	\$131,760	\$51,541	\$20,655	<b>\$203,956</b>	\$295,709	<b>(\$91,753)</b>
City of Andover	\$480,477	\$146,131	\$0	<b>\$626,608</b>	\$854,705	<b>(\$228,097)</b>
City of Arden Hills	\$440,739	\$228,940	\$214,422	<b>\$884,101</b>	\$322,107	<b>\$561,994</b>
City of Avon	\$40,513	\$10,200	\$0	<b>\$50,713</b>	\$44,683	<b>\$6,030</b>
City of Bemidji	\$212,841	\$67,671	\$198,390	<b>\$478,902</b>	\$544,277	<b>(\$65,375)</b>
City of Big Lake	\$377,152	\$129,673	\$27,948	<b>\$534,773</b>	\$252,374	<b>\$282,399</b>
City of Bird Island	\$9,730	\$0	\$0	<b>\$9,730</b>	\$7,898	<b>\$1,832</b>
City of Blaine	\$2,991,027	\$640,004	\$674,493	<b>\$4,305,524</b>	\$2,505,128	<b>\$1,800,396</b>
City of Blooming Prairie	\$4,764	\$2,487	\$203	<b>\$7,454</b>	\$5,838	<b>\$1,616</b>
City of Bloomington	\$1,009,559	\$477,046	\$1,206,140	<b>\$2,692,745</b>	\$3,042,121	<b>(\$349,376)</b>
City of Braham	\$15,663	\$6,608	\$1,178	<b>\$23,449</b>	\$46,719	<b>(\$23,270)</b>
City of Breckenridge ***	\$39,316	\$0	\$0	<b>\$39,316</b>	\$0	<b>\$39,316</b>
City of Buffalo	\$162,933	\$97,600	\$454,277	<b>\$714,810</b>	\$151,097	<b>\$563,713</b>
City of Burnsville *	\$488,016	\$234,179	\$606,719	<b>\$1,328,914</b>	\$1,134,502	<b>\$194,412</b>
City of Byron *	\$137,371	\$42,399	\$106,813	<b>\$286,583</b>	\$98,401	<b>\$188,182</b>
City of Cambridge	\$532,748	\$0	\$84,843	<b>\$617,591</b>	\$379,232	<b>\$238,359</b>
City of Cannon Falls	\$88,288	\$43,384	\$1,800	<b>\$133,472</b>	\$127,086	<b>\$6,386</b>
City of Carlton	\$9,297	\$2,411	\$0	<b>\$11,708</b>	\$7,110	<b>\$4,598</b>
City of Chanhassen	\$518,620	\$253,777	\$281,590	<b>\$1,053,987</b>	\$1,085,190	<b>(\$31,203)</b>
City of Chaska	\$613,355	\$348,574	\$208,876	<b>\$1,170,805</b>	\$1,252,029	<b>(\$81,224)</b>
City of Claremont	\$9,361	\$867	\$167	<b>\$10,395</b>	\$20,260	<b>(\$9,865)</b>
City of Columbia Heights	\$229,938	\$85,067	\$51,703	<b>\$366,708</b>	\$377,116	<b>(\$10,408)</b>
City of Crystal	\$221,870	\$66,875	\$0	<b>\$288,745</b>	\$294,980	<b>(\$6,235)</b>
City of Dellwood	\$47,831	\$23,421	\$3,901	<b>\$75,153</b>	\$49,158	<b>\$25,995</b>

MUNICIPALITY	PERMIT FEE REVENUE	PLAN REVIEW FEES	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE REVENUE	BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES	TOTAL LESS EXPENSE
City of Eden Prairie	\$1,086,048	\$399,203	\$497,912	<b>\$1,983,163</b>	\$2,578,411	<b>(-\$595,248)</b>
City of Edina	\$3,434,267	\$1,377,772	\$1,013,086	<b>\$5,825,125</b>	\$2,829,700	<b>\$2,995,425</b>
City of Elk River	\$541,994	\$262,578	\$260,397	<b>\$1,064,969</b>	\$2,305,960	<b>(-\$1,240,991)</b>
City of Elysian	\$20,088	\$11,536	\$3,851	<b>\$35,475</b>	\$29,392	<b>\$6,083</b>
City of Falcon Heights	\$63,138	\$8,887	\$7,680	<b>\$79,705</b>	\$82,885	<b>(-\$3,180)</b>
City of Faribault	\$303,781	\$154,381	\$112,592	<b>\$570,754</b>	\$320,314	<b>\$250,440</b>
City of Fergus Falls	\$116,079	\$0	\$31,796	<b>\$147,875</b>	\$132,099	<b>\$15,776</b>
City of Forest Lake	\$821,523	\$248,673	\$0	<b>\$1,070,196</b>	\$433,522	<b>\$636,674</b>
City of Fridley	\$322,878	\$135,144	\$194,168	<b>\$652,190</b>	\$596,253	<b>\$55,937</b>
City of Glencoe	\$129,747	\$63,628	\$2,870	<b>\$196,245</b>	\$139,198	<b>\$57,047</b>
City of Golden Valley	\$1,406,041	\$602,589	\$877,142	<b>\$2,885,772</b>	\$1,089,569	<b>\$1,796,203</b>
City of Grand Rapids	\$177,168	\$99,485	\$998	<b>\$277,651</b>	\$519,537	<b>(-\$241,886)</b>
City of Greenfield ***	\$35,823	\$23,219	\$15,840	<b>\$74,882</b>	\$0	<b>\$74,882</b>
City of Ham Lake	\$223,084	\$98,490	\$132,004	<b>\$453,578</b>	\$326,192	<b>\$127,386</b>
City of Hilltop	\$8,766	\$4,471	\$0	<b>\$13,237</b>	\$12,671	<b>\$566</b>
City of Hugo	\$402,213	\$102,789	\$75,440	<b>\$580,442</b>	\$613,727	<b>(-\$33,285)</b>
City of Inver Grove Heights	\$723,646	\$266,791	\$186,734	<b>\$1,177,171</b>	\$746,222	<b>\$430,949</b>
City of Isanti	\$210,052	\$80,250	\$44,185	<b>\$334,487</b>	\$162,663	<b>\$171,824</b>
City of Lake Elmo	\$1,146,350	\$625,142	\$299,428	<b>\$2,070,920</b>	\$349,054	<b>\$1,721,866</b>
City of Lakeville	\$1,723,662	\$673,920	\$637,740	<b>\$3,035,322</b>	\$1,960,374	<b>\$1,074,948</b>
City of Lauderdale	\$20,727	\$10,888	\$9,020	<b>\$40,635</b>	\$40,640	<b>(\$5)</b>
City of Lester Prairie	\$34,816	\$19,547	\$2,313	<b>\$56,676</b>	\$39,588	<b>\$17,088</b>
City of Lindstrom	\$1,256,400	\$0	\$0	<b>\$1,256,400</b>	\$1,267,400	<b>(\$11,000)</b>
City of Little Canada	\$87,293	\$30,790	\$50,330	<b>\$168,413</b>	\$335,833	<b>(-\$167,420)</b>
City of Lonsdale	\$95,762	\$0	\$0	<b>\$95,762</b>	\$95,762	<b>\$0</b>
City of Mahtomedi	\$190,428	\$47,265	\$0	<b>\$237,693</b>	\$374,201	<b>(-\$136,508)</b>
City of Maple Grove	\$1,264,019	\$724,764	\$838,667	<b>\$2,827,450</b>	\$3,593,234	<b>(\$765,784)</b>
City of Maplewood	\$874,133	\$476,870	\$410,557	<b>\$1,761,560</b>	\$1,341,563	<b>\$419,997</b>
City of Medina	\$278,850	\$200,056	\$85,075	<b>\$563,981</b>	\$240,836	<b>\$323,145</b>
City of Mendota Heights	\$342,603	\$129,627	\$99,510	<b>\$571,740</b>	\$265,588	<b>\$306,152</b>
City of Milaca	\$24,649	\$10,715	\$3,243	<b>\$38,607</b>	\$47,210	<b>(\$8,603)</b>
City of Minnetonka	\$2,218,984	\$12,843	\$1,248,666	<b>\$3,480,493</b>	\$2,124,128	<b>\$1,356,365</b>
City of Minnetrista	\$432,666	\$191,688	\$0	<b>\$624,354</b>	\$367,849	<b>\$256,505</b>
City of Montevideo	\$36,169	\$6,863	\$4,119	<b>\$47,151</b>	\$120,412	<b>(-\$73,261)</b>
City of Moorhead	\$627,036	\$85,717	\$50,352	<b>\$763,105</b>	\$521,992	<b>\$241,113</b>
City of Morris	\$33,669	\$11,489	\$0	<b>\$45,158</b>	\$38,840	<b>\$6,318</b>
City of Mound	\$265,580	\$141,520	\$57,666	<b>\$464,766</b>	\$524,211	<b>(\$59,445)</b>
City of New Hope	\$242,967	\$201,178	\$423,144	<b>\$867,289</b>	\$608,503	<b>\$258,786</b>
City of New Prague	\$220,451	\$123,298	\$42,703	<b>\$386,452</b>	\$204,132	<b>\$182,320</b>
City of New Ulm	\$173,380	\$34,086	\$0	<b>\$207,466</b>	\$239,382	<b>(\$31,916)</b>
City of Oak Park Heights	\$92,409	\$31,562	\$455	<b>\$124,426</b>	\$244,210	<b>(\$119,784)</b>
City of Pine Island ***	\$19,042	\$3,909	\$4,000	<b>\$26,951</b>	\$0	<b>\$26,951</b>
City of Plymouth	\$2,075,128	\$996,893	\$1,107,850	<b>\$4,179,871</b>	\$2,073,144	<b>\$2,106,727</b>
City of Prior Lake	\$602,394	\$342,541	\$122,304	<b>\$1,067,239</b>	\$939,215	<b>\$128,024</b>
City of Ramsey	\$444,873	\$198,320	\$209,589	<b>\$852,782</b>	\$1,371,263	<b>(\$518,481)</b>
City of Richfield	\$405,206	\$150,331	\$295,679	<b>\$851,216</b>	\$1,108,882	<b>(\$257,666)</b>
City of Robbinsdale	\$126,308	\$33,587	\$75,400	<b>\$235,295</b>	\$258,101	<b>(\$22,806)</b>
City of Roseau	\$9,408	\$0	\$0	<b>\$9,408</b>	\$58,590	<b>(\$49,182)</b>
City of Rosemount	\$457,817	\$162,402	\$191,407	<b>\$811,626</b>	\$661,581	<b>\$150,045</b>
City of Sartell	\$587,377	\$197,667	\$143,377	<b>\$928,421</b>	\$495,128	<b>\$433,293</b>
City of Sauk Rapids	\$127,426	\$71,372	\$54,802	<b>\$253,600</b>	\$314,670	<b>(\$61,070)</b>
City of Shakopee	\$774,554	\$521,403	\$746,135	<b>\$2,042,092</b>	\$830,860	<b>\$1,211,232</b>
City of Shoreview	\$683,420	\$246,808	\$241,391	<b>\$1,171,619</b>	\$957,265	<b>\$214,354</b>
City of Silver Bay	\$2,865	\$990	\$565	<b>\$4,420</b>	\$5,995	<b>(\$1,575)</b>
City of Silver Lake	\$2,518	\$931	\$0	<b>\$3,449</b>	\$2,914	<b>\$535</b>
City of St. Francis	\$152,900	\$89,142	\$32,103	<b>\$274,145</b>	\$328,123	<b>(\$53,978)</b>
City of St. Louis Park *	\$1,018,564	\$489,672	\$1,037,902	<b>\$2,546,138</b>	\$2,118,965	<b>\$427,173</b>
City of St. Michael	\$619,417	\$292,376	\$3,955	<b>\$915,748</b>	\$394,887	<b>\$520,861</b>
City of Stacy	\$24,170	\$11,758	\$1,000	<b>\$36,928</b>	\$35,468	<b>\$1,460</b>
City of Staples	\$10,877	\$6,699	\$10,357	<b>\$27,933</b>	\$28,364	<b>(\$431)</b>
City of Twin Valley	\$590	\$0	\$0	<b>\$590</b>	\$0	<b>\$590</b>
City of Two Harbors	\$15,438	\$2,306	\$0	<b>\$17,744</b>	\$11,885	<b>\$5,859</b>
City of Victoria	\$608,626	\$375,126	\$96,268	<b>\$1,080,020</b>	\$419,104	<b>\$660,916</b>
City of Walker	\$19,927	\$4,355	\$1,780	<b>\$26,062</b>	\$76,918	<b>(\$50,856)</b>
City of Wanamingo	\$15,450	\$5,814	\$0	<b>\$21,264</b>	\$21,264	<b>\$0</b>
City of Wayzata	\$243,260	\$112,168	\$113,475	<b>\$468,903</b>	\$470,214	<b>(\$1,311)</b>
City of White Bear Lake	\$321,897	\$88,979	\$127,475	<b>\$538,351</b>	\$966,855	<b>(\$428,504)</b>
City of Woodbury	\$2,565,985	\$1,095,431	\$1,215,480	<b>\$4,876,896</b>	\$1,485,225	<b>\$3,391,671</b>
City of Zimmerman	\$121,151	\$71,298	\$16,456	<b>\$208,905</b>	\$89,845	<b>\$119,060</b>
Eureka Township	\$23,178	\$15,065	\$1,219	<b>\$39,462</b>	\$43,868	<b>(\$4,406)</b>

MUNICIPALITY	PERMIT FEE REVENUE	PLAN REVIEW FEES	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE REVENUE	BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES	TOTAL LESS EXPENSE
Kandiyohi County	\$141,953	\$92,271	\$12,808	<b>\$247,032</b>	\$277,021	<b>(\$29,989)</b>
Linwood Township	\$43,272	\$24,024	\$6,640	<b>\$73,936</b>	\$100,725	<b>(\$26,789)</b>
Olmsted County	\$106,273	\$54,952	\$68,435	<b>\$229,660</b>	\$384,333	<b>(\$154,673)</b>
Scott County	\$529,439	\$316,380	\$83,663	<b>\$929,482</b>	\$757,747	<b>\$171,735</b>
Stearns County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
<b>2018</b>						
Baytown Township	\$84,513	\$40,567	\$5,100	<b>\$130,180</b>	\$84,591	<b>\$45,589</b>
Benton County	\$111,266	\$48,756	\$13,489	<b>\$173,511</b>	\$134,346	<b>\$39,165</b>
Carver County	\$201,535	\$111,574	\$17,570	<b>\$330,679</b>	\$432,041	<b>(\$101,362)</b>
Cascade Township	\$22,791	\$11,840	\$891	<b>\$35,522</b>	\$16,875	<b>\$18,647</b>
Chisago County	\$474,033	\$275,566	\$80,424	<b>\$830,023</b>	\$550,110	<b>\$279,913</b>
City of Albany	\$36,470	\$11,889	\$3,289	<b>\$51,648</b>	\$43,426	<b>\$8,222</b>
City of Albert Lea	\$139,004	\$58,439	\$23,732	<b>\$221,175</b>	\$456,765	<b>(\$235,590)</b>
City of Albertville	\$141,011	\$88,064	\$31,253	<b>\$260,328</b>	\$176,720	<b>\$83,608</b>
City of Alexandria	\$396,122	\$154,931	\$0	<b>\$551,053</b>	\$429,882	<b>\$121,171</b>
City of Andover	\$454,463	\$122,426	\$0	<b>\$576,889</b>	\$867,881	<b>(\$290,992)</b>
City of Annandale	\$44,871	\$26,928	\$4,359	<b>\$76,158</b>	\$27,347	<b>\$48,811</b>
City of Anoka	\$248,133	\$82,849	\$102,740	<b>\$433,722</b>	\$155,927	<b>\$277,795</b>
City of Arden Hills	\$317,618	\$175,239	\$127,011	<b>\$619,868</b>	\$332,067	<b>\$287,801</b>
City of Atwater	\$11,681	\$1,253	\$0	<b>\$12,934</b>	\$4,065	<b>\$8,869</b>
City of Austin*	\$382,260	\$0	\$4,416	<b>\$386,676</b>	\$275,359	<b>\$111,317</b>
City of Avon	\$17,531	\$454	\$0	<b>\$17,985</b>	\$14,093	<b>\$3,892</b>
City of Baxter	\$165,526	\$93,768	\$54,406	<b>\$313,700</b>	\$188,729	<b>\$124,971</b>
City of Bayport	\$195,931	\$127,748	\$123,549	<b>\$447,228</b>	\$256,997	<b>\$190,231</b>
City of Bemidji	\$219,481	\$113,770	\$202,587	<b>\$535,838</b>	\$575,369	<b>(\$39,531)</b>
City of Benson	\$10,150	\$2,147	\$0	<b>\$12,297</b>	\$43,000	<b>(\$30,703)</b>
City of Big Lake	\$278,536	\$117,895	\$36,907	<b>\$433,338</b>	\$186,684	<b>\$246,654</b>
City of Birchwood Village	\$13,558	\$1,858	\$0	<b>\$15,416</b>	\$9,814	<b>\$5,602</b>
City of Bird Island	\$7,797	\$0	\$0	<b>\$7,797</b>	\$6,447	<b>\$1,350</b>
City of Blooming Prairie	\$5,823	\$5,583	\$591	<b>\$11,997</b>	\$8,746	<b>\$3,251</b>
City of Braham	\$30,206	\$7,071	\$2,781	<b>\$40,058</b>	\$48,143	<b>(\$8,085)</b>
City of Breckenridge ***	\$15,677	\$0	\$0	<b>\$15,677</b>	\$0	<b>\$15,677</b>
City of Breezy Point	\$64,131	\$34,333	\$10,464	<b>\$108,928</b>	\$131,208	<b>(\$22,280)</b>
City of Brooklyn Center ***	\$1,167,061	\$182,589	\$0	<b>\$1,349,650</b>	\$0	<b>\$1,349,650</b>
City of Buffalo	\$212,289	\$117,424	\$619,309	<b>\$949,022</b>	\$232,803	<b>\$716,219</b>
City of Burnsville *	\$641,247	\$323,620	\$763,606	<b>\$1,728,473</b>	\$1,155,293	<b>\$573,180</b>
City of Byron	\$145,805	\$40,520	\$36,784	<b>\$223,109</b>	\$90,833	<b>\$132,276</b>
City of Cambridge	\$329,487	\$0	\$48,049	<b>\$377,536</b>	\$407,944	<b>(\$30,408)</b>
City of Cannon Falls	\$46,759	\$20,514	\$3,450	<b>\$70,723</b>	\$70,443	<b>\$280</b>
City of Carlton	\$13,671	\$6,058	\$0	<b>\$19,729</b>	\$16,395	<b>\$3,334</b>
City of Carver	\$164,184	\$72,073	\$6,043	<b>\$242,300</b>	\$204,763	<b>\$37,537</b>
City of Champlin	\$333,706	\$64,704	\$0	<b>\$398,410</b>	\$347,840	<b>\$50,570</b>
City of Chanhassen	\$778,694	\$428,932	\$350,746	<b>\$1,558,372</b>	\$1,002,403	<b>\$555,969</b>
City of Chaska	\$382,936	\$191,736	\$148,523	<b>\$723,195</b>	\$1,135,914	<b>(\$412,719)</b>
City of Chatfield	\$12,600	\$7,989	\$1,170	<b>\$21,759</b>	\$57,124	<b>(\$35,365)</b>
City of Chisago City	\$41,258	\$9,139	\$3,562	<b>\$53,959</b>	\$117,002	<b>(\$63,043)</b>
City of Claremont	\$2,839	\$4,588	\$1,432	<b>\$8,859</b>	\$26,548	<b>(\$17,689)</b>
City of Clear Lake	\$5,828	\$0	\$0	<b>\$5,828</b>	\$7,902	<b>(\$2,074)</b>
City of Cloquet	\$129,054	\$40,267	\$0	<b>\$169,321</b>	\$117,761	<b>\$51,560</b>
City of Cold Spring	\$55,259	\$28,870	\$490,152	<b>\$574,281</b>	\$90,827	<b>\$483,454</b>
City of Columbia Heights	\$94,115	\$29,762	\$72,077	<b>\$195,954</b>	\$423,270	<b>(\$227,316)</b>
City of Cosmos	\$1,305	\$460	\$0	<b>\$1,765</b>	\$0	<b>\$1,765</b>
City of Cottage Grove	\$892,217	\$359,427	\$203,840	<b>\$1,455,484</b>	\$864,363	<b>\$591,121</b>
City of Crosby	\$16,751	\$6,360	\$3,422	<b>\$26,533</b>	\$21,591	<b>\$4,942</b>
City of Crystal	\$201,118	\$53,816	\$0	<b>\$254,934</b>	\$305,368	<b>(\$50,434)</b>
City of Darwin	\$166	\$107	\$0	<b>\$273</b>	\$0	<b>\$273</b>
City of Dassel ***	\$14,519	\$6,049	\$0	<b>\$20,568</b>	\$0	<b>\$20,568</b>
City of Dayton	\$501,315	\$273,918	\$31,249	<b>\$806,482</b>	\$599,541	<b>\$206,941</b>
City of Deephaven	\$159,808	\$89,986	\$55,370	<b>\$305,164</b>	\$309,302	<b>(\$4,138)</b>
City of Deerwood	\$9,868	\$6,227	\$1,410	<b>\$17,505</b>	\$16,377	<b>\$1,128</b>
City of Dellwood	\$47,450	\$17,981	\$5,000	<b>\$70,431</b>	\$14,516	<b>\$55,915</b>
City of Detroit Lakes	\$329,187	\$107,873	\$0	<b>\$437,060</b>	\$184,332	<b>\$252,728</b>
City of Dilworth	\$26,883	\$4,155	\$5,634	<b>\$36,672</b>	\$50,184	<b>(\$13,512)</b>
City of Dodge Center	\$14,312	\$8,008	\$2,154	<b>\$24,474</b>	\$2,135	<b>\$22,339</b>
City of Dover	\$9,570	\$4,091	\$756	<b>\$14,417</b>	\$3,977	<b>\$10,440</b>
City of Duluth	\$1,756,662	\$479,909	\$0	<b>\$2,236,571</b>	\$1,706,363	<b>\$530,208</b>
City of Eagan	\$900,928	\$467,949	\$387,359	<b>\$1,756,236</b>	\$1,683,707	<b>\$72,529</b>
City of Eagle Lake	\$32,786	\$18,444	\$6,926	<b>\$58,156</b>	\$39,918	<b>\$18,238</b>
City of Eden Prairie	\$1,724,566	\$782,501	\$724,636	<b>\$3,231,703</b>	\$2,632,047	<b>\$599,656</b>
City of Edina	\$3,436,295	\$1,450,185	\$1,266,094	<b>\$6,152,574</b>	\$3,009,207	<b>\$3,143,367</b>

MUNICIPALITY	PERMIT FEE REVENUE	PLAN REVIEW FEES	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE REVENUE	BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES	TOTAL LESS EXPENSE
City of Elgin	\$3,277	\$2,128	\$810	<b>\$6,215</b>	\$6,510	<b>(\$295)</b>
City of Elk River	\$468,632	\$227,767	\$121,003	<b>\$817,402</b>	\$2,370,939	<b>(\$1,553,537)</b>
City of Elko New Market	\$64,701	\$36,866	\$13,661	<b>\$115,228</b>	\$81,657	<b>\$33,571</b>
City of Excelsior	\$88,755	\$39,827	\$0	<b>\$128,582</b>	\$92,329	<b>\$36,253</b>
City of Fairfax	\$6,925	\$0	\$0	<b>\$6,925</b>	\$5,540	<b>\$1,385</b>
City of Fairmont ***	\$93,931	\$16,089	\$0	<b>\$110,020</b>	\$0	<b>\$110,020</b>
City of Falcon Heights	\$45,155	\$7,331	\$9,064	<b>\$61,550</b>	\$75,379	<b>(\$13,829)</b>
City of Faribault	\$205,780	\$96,543	\$85,972	<b>\$388,295</b>	\$402,977	<b>(\$14,682)</b>
City of Farmington	\$220,913	\$80,575	\$0	<b>\$301,488</b>	\$392,496	<b>(\$91,008)</b>
City of Fergus Falls	\$59,845	\$0	\$21,298	<b>\$81,143</b>	\$114,260	<b>(\$33,117)</b>
City of Foley	\$21,783	\$0	\$0	<b>\$21,783</b>	\$21,171	<b>\$612</b>
City of Forest Lake	\$645,588	\$229,728	\$0	<b>\$875,316</b>	\$572,589	<b>\$302,727</b>
City of Foreston	\$8,343	\$4,288	\$460	<b>\$13,091</b>	\$10,128	<b>\$2,963</b>
City of Freeport	\$5,412	\$300	\$0	<b>\$5,712</b>	\$4,900	<b>\$812</b>
City of Fridley	\$413,002	\$215,647	\$301,454	<b>\$930,103</b>	\$600,244	<b>\$329,859</b>
City of Ghent	\$7,400	\$1,746	\$668	<b>\$9,814</b>	\$9,814	<b>\$0</b>
City of Glencoe	\$98,527	\$49,164	\$2,065	<b>\$149,756</b>	\$105,181	<b>\$44,575</b>
City of Glenwood	\$46,962	\$13,244	\$0	<b>\$60,206</b>	\$53,505	<b>\$6,701</b>
City of Golden Valley	\$628,968	\$269,558	\$633,182	<b>\$1,531,708</b>	\$1,124,160	<b>\$407,548</b>
City of Goodhue	\$9,440	\$3,718	\$499	<b>\$13,657</b>	\$11,768	<b>\$1,889</b>
City of Goodview	\$41,221	\$0	\$90	<b>\$41,311</b>	\$16,230	<b>\$25,081</b>
City of Grand Rapids	\$106,534	\$53,676	\$321	<b>\$160,531</b>	\$509,222	<b>(\$348,691)</b>
City of Granite Falls*	\$53,439	\$10,887	\$0	<b>\$64,326</b>	\$40,080	<b>\$24,246</b>
City of Grant	\$139,889	\$40,202	\$9,768	<b>\$189,859</b>	\$146,873	<b>\$42,986</b>
City of Greenfield ***	\$27,551	\$17,908	\$18,905	<b>\$64,364</b>	\$0	<b>\$64,364</b>
City of Greenwood	\$30,536	\$17,404	\$9,942	<b>\$57,882</b>	\$50,278	<b>\$7,604</b>
City of Grove City	\$1,984	\$1,281	\$0	<b>\$3,265</b>	\$0	<b>\$3,265</b>
City of Ham Lake	\$230,228	\$107,022	\$112,579	<b>\$449,829</b>	\$322,096	<b>\$127,733</b>
City of Hampton	\$6,322	\$3,663	\$1,626	<b>\$11,611</b>	\$8,979	<b>\$2,632</b>
City of Hanover	\$49,123	\$29,022	\$112,011	<b>\$190,156</b>	\$42,772	<b>\$147,384</b>
City of Hastings	\$438,458.00	\$323,624.00	\$0.00	<b>\$762,082.00</b>	\$575,390.00	<b>\$186,692</b>
City of Hayfield	\$2,076	\$3,856	\$2,650	<b>\$8,582</b>	\$8,890	<b>(\$308)</b>
City of Hinckley	\$34,323	\$18,208	\$1,459	<b>\$53,990</b>	\$30,464	<b>\$23,526</b>
City of Hopkins	\$412,592	\$109,618	\$5,738	<b>\$527,948</b>	\$453,587	<b>\$74,361</b>
City of Hugo	\$467,796	\$149,502	\$98,207	<b>\$715,505</b>	\$606,053	<b>\$109,452</b>
City of Hutchinson	\$453,085	\$28,386	\$31,814	<b>\$513,285</b>	\$338,383	<b>\$174,902</b>
City of Independence	\$168,684	\$3,424	\$160	<b>\$172,268</b>	\$9,864	<b>\$162,404</b>
City of International Falls	\$62,505	\$14,336	\$0	<b>\$76,841</b>	\$110,847	<b>(\$34,006)</b>
City of Inver Grove Heights	\$734,949	\$341,966	\$246,972	<b>\$1,323,887</b>	\$1,214,891	<b>\$108,996</b>
City of Isanti	\$190,248	\$110,482	\$56,672	<b>\$357,402</b>	\$362,032	<b>(\$4,630)</b>
City of Jackson	\$33,395	\$11,334	\$2,348	<b>\$47,077</b>	\$10,574	<b>\$36,503</b>
City of Jordan	\$107,913	\$68,994	\$0	<b>\$176,907</b>	\$55,076	<b>\$121,831</b>
City of Kasson	\$81,516	\$47,734	\$143,065	<b>\$272,315</b>	\$75,005	<b>\$197,310</b>
City of Kellogg	\$3,166	\$1,286	\$0	<b>\$4,452</b>	\$0	<b>\$4,452</b>
City of Kimball	\$8,696	\$5,961	\$5,825	<b>\$20,482</b>	\$12,897	<b>\$7,585</b>
City of La Prairie	\$5,810	\$3,104	\$113	<b>\$9,027</b>	\$41,321	<b>(\$32,294)</b>
City of LaCrescent	\$57,802	\$26,366	\$5,787	<b>\$89,955</b>	\$47,419	<b>\$42,536</b>
City of Lake City *	\$74,630	\$25,684	\$850	<b>\$101,164</b>	\$92,838	<b>\$8,326</b>
City of Lake Crystal	\$24,695	\$6,153	\$0	<b>\$30,848</b>	\$28,152	<b>\$2,696</b>
City of Lakeland	\$55,739	\$0	\$249	<b>\$55,988</b>	\$52,440	<b>\$3,548</b>
City of Lakeville	\$1,681,376	\$647,180	\$586,978	<b>\$2,915,534</b>	\$2,129,139	<b>\$786,395</b>
City of Lauderdale	\$27,382	\$5,769	\$8,701	<b>\$41,852</b>	\$38,757	<b>\$3,095</b>
City of Lester Prairie	\$22,873	\$11,333	\$7,172	<b>\$41,378</b>	\$32,418	<b>\$8,960</b>
City of Lexington	\$214,862	\$148,246	\$31,688	<b>\$394,796</b>	\$356,304	<b>\$38,492</b>
City of Lindstrom	\$29,203	\$0	\$0	<b>\$29,203</b>	\$13,809	<b>\$15,394</b>
City of Lino Lakes	\$695,633	\$223,917	\$176,119	<b>\$1,095,669</b>	\$513,112	<b>\$582,557</b>
City of Litchfield	\$48,791	\$0	\$0	<b>\$48,791</b>	\$109,898	<b>(\$61,107)</b>
City of Little Canada	\$121,040	\$25,991	\$64,621	<b>\$211,652</b>	\$277,192	<b>(\$65,540)</b>
City of Long Prairie	\$68,232	\$0	\$0	<b>\$68,232</b>	\$55,116	<b>\$13,116</b>
City of Lonsdale	\$114,991	\$66,806	\$0	<b>\$181,797</b>	\$181,797	<b>\$0</b>
City of Loretto	\$5,894	\$2,488	\$445	<b>\$8,827</b>	\$4,549	<b>\$4,278</b>
City of Luverne	\$55,166	\$0	\$12,975	<b>\$68,141</b>	\$102,155	<b>(\$34,014)</b>
City of Madison Lake	\$10,508	\$5,311	\$10,376	<b>\$26,195</b>	\$19,067	<b>\$7,128</b>
City of Mahtomedi	\$199,074	\$31,400	\$0	<b>\$230,474</b>	\$271,341	<b>(\$40,867)</b>
City of Mankato	\$921,711	\$359,190	\$0	<b>\$1,280,901</b>	\$1,412,193	<b>(\$131,292)</b>
City of Maple Grove	\$1,407,501	\$907,145	\$1,051,402	<b>\$3,366,048</b>	\$3,822,532	<b>(\$456,484)</b>
City of Maple Lake	\$13,496	\$8,264	\$0	<b>\$21,760</b>	\$11,140	<b>\$10,620</b>
City of Maplewood	\$542,212	\$309,677	\$347,898	<b>\$1,199,787</b>	\$1,385,363	<b>(\$185,576)</b>
City of Marshall	\$115,059	\$38,834	\$0	<b>\$153,893</b>	\$418,770	<b>(\$264,877)</b>
City of Mayer	\$84,584	\$40,473	\$14,124	<b>\$139,181</b>	\$63,945	<b>\$75,236</b>

MUNICIPALITY	PERMIT FEE REVENUE	PLAN REVIEW FEES	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE REVENUE	BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES	TOTAL LESS EXPENSE
City of Medford	\$3,410	\$0	\$0	<b>\$3,410</b>	\$0	<b>\$3,410</b>
City of Medina	\$275,267	\$200,021	\$83,175	<b>\$558,463</b>	\$409,365	<b>\$149,098</b>
City of Mendota Heights	\$273,528	\$75,905	\$99,120	<b>\$448,553</b>	\$263,551	<b>\$185,002</b>
City of Milaca	\$35,124	\$18,044	\$5,015	<b>\$58,183</b>	\$47,719	<b>\$10,464</b>
City of Minnetonka	\$2,718,481	\$15,892	\$1,454,433	<b>\$4,188,806</b>	\$2,131,749	<b>\$2,057,057</b>
City of Minnetonka Beach	\$29,823	\$15,078	\$6,045	<b>\$50,946</b>	\$53,688	<b>(\$2,742)</b>
City of Minnetrista	\$585,266	\$295,249	\$0	<b>\$880,515</b>	\$359,565	<b>\$520,950</b>
City of Montevideo	\$38,589	\$9,563	\$2,457	<b>\$50,609</b>	\$129,991	<b>(\$79,382)</b>
City of Monticello	\$428,031	\$122,971	\$0	<b>\$551,002</b>	\$351,511	<b>\$199,491</b>
City of Montrose	\$45,326	\$18,524	\$6,560	<b>\$70,410</b>	\$35,205	<b>\$35,205</b>
City of Moorhead	\$530,636	\$84,031	\$49,839	<b>\$664,506</b>	\$543,293	<b>\$121,213</b>
City of Mora	\$43,301	\$8,643	\$2,914	<b>\$54,858</b>	\$66,218	<b>(\$11,360)</b>
City of Mound	\$171,486	\$80,879	\$43,079	<b>\$295,444</b>	\$430,163	<b>(\$134,719)</b>
City of Mountain Iron	\$17,110	\$16,543	\$0	<b>\$33,653</b>	\$789	<b>\$32,864</b>
City of Mountain Lake	\$6,122	\$0	\$0	<b>\$6,122</b>	\$7,183	<b>(\$1,061)</b>
City of New Brighton **	\$50,326	\$18,716	\$1,070	<b>\$70,112</b>	\$0	<b>\$70,112</b>
City of New Germany	\$151	\$98	\$600	<b>\$849</b>	\$1,690	<b>(\$841)</b>
City of New Hope	\$177,001	\$95,648	\$234,184	<b>\$506,833</b>	\$657,603	<b>(\$150,770)</b>
City of New London	\$26,501	\$0	\$1,739	<b>\$28,240</b>	\$39,353	<b>(\$11,113)</b>
City of New Prague	\$92,906	\$44,225	\$82,710	<b>\$219,841</b>	\$258,378	<b>(\$38,537)</b>
City of New Ulm	\$160,669	\$33,737	\$14,094	<b>\$208,500</b>	\$229,498	<b>(\$20,998)</b>
City of North Branch	\$219,814	\$78,182	\$71,196	<b>\$369,192</b>	\$385,943	<b>(\$16,751)</b>
City of North Mankato	\$352,700	\$86,874	\$17,451	<b>\$457,025</b>	\$395,896	<b>\$61,129</b>
City of North Oaks	\$256,085	\$112,490	\$43,985	<b>\$412,560</b>	\$257,295	<b>\$155,265</b>
City of Northfield	\$269,061	\$133,071	\$99,074	<b>\$501,206</b>	\$517,580	<b>(\$16,374)</b>
City of Oak Grove	\$113,033.00	\$57,213.00	\$30,555.00	<b>\$200,801.00</b>	\$171,778.00	<b>\$29,023</b>
City of Oak Park Heights	\$120,905	\$48,129	\$630	<b>\$169,664</b>	\$244,290	<b>(\$74,626)</b>
City of Ogdensburg	\$6,199	\$0	\$0	<b>\$6,199</b>	\$4,649	<b>\$1,550</b>
City of Oronoco	\$34,069	\$15,054	\$10,740	<b>\$59,863</b>	\$329,434	<b>(\$269,571)</b>
City of Ortonville	\$9,271	\$1,911	\$0	<b>\$11,182</b>	\$9,414	<b>\$1,768</b>
City of Otsego	\$941,949	\$382,833	\$69,741	<b>\$1,394,523</b>	\$392,268	<b>\$1,002,255</b>
City of Owatonna	\$332,468.00	\$118,855.00	\$1,020.00	<b>\$452,343.00</b>	\$459,078.00	<b>(\$6,735)</b>
City of Pelican Rapids	\$19,697	\$2,000	\$0	<b>\$21,697</b>	\$38,206	<b>(\$16,509)</b>
City of Pierz	\$36,465	\$7,299	\$3,143	<b>\$46,907</b>	\$9,473	<b>\$37,434</b>
City of Pine Island ***	\$31,145	\$11,149	\$9,000	<b>\$51,294</b>	\$0	<b>\$51,294</b>
City of Pipestone	\$28,744	\$1,563	\$0	<b>\$30,307</b>	\$89,842	<b>(\$59,535)</b>
City of Plainview	\$14,804	\$7,227	\$14,720	<b>\$36,751</b>	\$51,130	<b>(\$14,379)</b>
City of Plymouth	\$1,961,021	\$1,018,328	\$1,025,215	<b>\$4,004,564</b>	\$2,052,859	<b>\$1,951,705</b>
City of Proctor	\$12,399	\$1,725	\$0	<b>\$14,124</b>	\$6,744	<b>\$7,380</b>
City of Ramsey	\$626,166	\$296,301	\$313,985	<b>\$1,236,452</b>	\$1,454,688	<b>(\$218,236)</b>
City of Red Lake Falls	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
City of Red Wing	\$273,767	\$76,664	\$49,846	<b>\$400,277</b>	\$319,460	<b>\$80,817</b>
City of Redwood Falls	\$71,682	\$10,644	\$2,100	<b>\$84,426</b>	\$110,784	<b>(\$26,358)</b>
City of Rice	\$18,469	\$11,805	\$4,683	<b>\$34,957</b>	\$30,052	<b>\$4,905</b>
City of Rice Lake	\$41,582	\$0	\$0	<b>\$41,582</b>	\$21,718	<b>\$19,864</b>
City of Richfield	\$584,856	\$247,374	\$413,827	<b>\$1,246,057</b>	\$1,107,045	<b>\$139,012</b>
City of Robbinsdale	\$233,076	\$104,838	\$145,566	<b>\$483,480</b>	\$279,359	<b>\$204,121</b>
City of Rochester	\$1,882,030	\$1,031,202	\$1,489,006	<b>\$4,402,238</b>	\$4,096,080	<b>\$306,158</b>
City of Rockville	\$24,805	\$15,775	\$2,460	<b>\$43,040</b>	\$33,432	<b>\$9,608</b>
City of Rollingstone	\$3,870	\$1,691	\$146	<b>\$5,707</b>	\$5,067	<b>\$640</b>
City of Roseau	\$11,577	\$935	\$0	<b>\$12,512</b>	\$11,648	<b>\$864</b>
City of Rosemount	\$606,080	\$233,993	\$184,599	<b>\$1,024,672</b>	\$706,881	<b>\$317,791</b>
City of Roseville	\$1,170,314	\$0	\$21,502	<b>\$1,191,816</b>	\$828,179	<b>\$363,637</b>
City of Royalton	\$18,407	\$11,585	\$32,101	<b>\$62,093</b>	\$28,581	<b>\$33,512</b>
City of Sandstone	\$15,846	\$9,972	\$1,395	<b>\$27,213</b>	\$21,733	<b>\$5,480</b>
City of Sartell	\$219,378	\$89,969	\$256,686	<b>\$566,033</b>	\$537,177	<b>\$28,856</b>
City of Sauk Rapids	\$149,847	\$80,663	\$51,724	<b>\$282,234</b>	\$319,291	<b>(\$37,057)</b>
City of Savage	\$858,849	\$465,564	\$195,066	<b>\$1,519,479</b>	\$1,520,635	<b>(\$1,156)</b>
City of Shafer	\$19,962	\$9,031	\$200	<b>\$29,193</b>	\$23,504	<b>\$5,689</b>
City of Shakopee	\$786,336	\$568,113	\$872,791	<b>\$2,227,240</b>	\$822,285	<b>\$1,404,955</b>
City of Shoreview	\$387,550	\$84,906	\$155,874	<b>\$628,330</b>	\$1,045,370	<b>(\$417,040)</b>
City of Shorewood	\$282,190	\$152,462	\$105,052	<b>\$539,704</b>	\$216,732	<b>\$322,972</b>
City of Silver Bay	\$2,477	\$270	\$285	<b>\$3,032</b>	\$5,535	<b>(\$2,503)</b>
City of Silver Lake	\$7,415	\$2,184	\$0	<b>\$9,599</b>	\$7,569	<b>\$2,030</b>
City of Sleepy Eye	\$14,790	\$0	\$0	<b>\$14,790</b>	\$52,696	<b>(\$37,906)</b>
City of Spicer	\$21,139	\$1,883	\$1,354	<b>\$24,376</b>	\$33,220	<b>(\$8,844)</b>
City of Spring Park	\$24,653	\$18,205	\$6,193	<b>\$49,051</b>	\$50,802	<b>(\$1,751)</b>
City of St. Anthony	\$104,862	\$50,422	\$60,284	<b>\$215,568</b>	\$253,335	<b>(\$37,767)</b>
City of St. Charles*	\$4,137	\$5,474	\$21,978	<b>\$31,589</b>	\$23,656	<b>\$7,933</b>
City of St. Francis	\$167,181	\$87,192	\$24,175	<b>\$278,548</b>	\$322,387	<b>(\$43,839)</b>

MUNICIPALITY	PERMIT FEE REVENUE	PLAN REVIEW FEES	OTHER FEES	TOTAL FEE REVENUE	BUILDING INSPECTION EXPENSES	TOTAL LESS EXPENSE
City of St. Louis Park	\$1,220,968	\$688,715	\$877,354	<b>\$2,787,037</b>	\$2,260,511	<b>\$526,526</b>
City of St. Marys Point	\$14,007	\$1,113	\$7,068	<b>\$22,188</b>	\$15,119	<b>\$7,069</b>
City of St. Michael	\$532,352	\$241,432	\$4,379	<b>\$778,163</b>	\$468,661	<b>\$309,502</b>
City of Stacy	\$24,324	\$6,017	\$400	<b>\$30,741</b>	\$32,303	( <b>\$1,562</b> )
City of Staples	\$42,668	\$20,459	\$7,472	<b>\$70,599</b>	\$57,168	<b>\$13,431</b>
City of Stephen	\$255	\$0	\$0	<b>\$255</b>	\$0	<b>\$255</b>
City of Stillwater	\$634,067	\$253,174	\$96,535	<b>\$983,776</b>	\$865,239	<b>\$118,537</b>
City of Stockton	\$3,570	\$2,067	\$200	<b>\$5,837</b>	\$535	<b>\$5,302</b>
City of Tonka Bay	\$70,185	\$24,168	\$3,838	<b>\$98,191</b>	\$51,431	<b>\$46,760</b>
City of Two Harbors	\$15,006	\$1,231	\$0	<b>\$16,237</b>	\$11,015	<b>\$5,222</b>
City of Victoria	\$541,786	\$342,386	\$74,862	<b>\$959,034</b>	\$439,503	<b>\$519,531</b>
City of Wabasha	\$22,759.00	\$13,572.00	\$1,160.00	<b>\$37,491.00</b>	\$17,903.00	<b>\$19,588</b>
City of Walker	\$22,632	\$372	\$0	<b>\$23,004</b>	\$45,005	( <b>\$22,001</b> )
City of Wanamingo	\$33,618	\$15,486	\$0	<b>\$49,104</b>	\$49,104	<b>\$0</b>
City of Waseca	\$109,090	\$48,714	\$11,892	<b>\$169,696</b>	\$124,957	<b>\$44,739</b>
City of Watertown	\$95,353	\$44,632	\$19,511	<b>\$159,496</b>	\$74,939	<b>\$84,557</b>
City of Waverly *	\$35,679	\$22,320	\$6,185	<b>\$64,184</b>	\$62,211	<b>\$1,973</b>
City of Wayzata	\$322,163	\$181,078	\$127,956	<b>\$631,197</b>	\$488,757	<b>\$142,440</b>
City of Wells	\$9,431	\$4,553	\$0	<b>\$13,984</b>	\$145,000	( <b>\$131,016</b> )
City of White Bear Lake	\$379,508	\$98,147	\$143,395	<b>\$621,050</b>	\$1,085,430	( <b>\$464,380</b> )
City of Willmar ***	\$197,760	\$113,806	\$18,084	<b>\$329,650</b>	\$0	<b>\$329,650</b>
City of Windom	\$93,679	\$2,930	\$450	<b>\$97,059</b>	\$71,059	<b>\$26,000</b>
City of Winona	\$428,954	\$0	\$0	<b>\$428,954</b>	\$519,720	( <b>\$90,766</b> )
City of Winsted	\$12,447	\$1,869	\$0	<b>\$14,316</b>	\$20,902	( <b>\$6,586</b> )
City of Winthrop ***	\$42,446	\$16,645	\$815	<b>\$59,906</b>	\$0	<b>\$59,906</b>
City of Woodbury	\$2,404,691	\$941,922	\$1,077,425	<b>\$4,424,038</b>	\$1,488,955	<b>\$2,935,083</b>
City of Woodland	\$86,622	\$51,936	\$8,110	<b>\$146,668</b>	\$124,270	<b>\$22,398</b>
City of Wrenshall	\$6,740	\$1,201	\$674	<b>\$8,615</b>	\$8,038	<b>\$577</b>
City of Wyoming	\$117,876	\$60,153	\$23,598	<b>\$201,627</b>	\$133,193	<b>\$68,434</b>
City of Zimmerman	\$183,604	\$108,703	\$34,774	<b>\$327,081</b>	\$102,889	<b>\$224,192</b>
City of Zumbrota	\$43,026	\$19,476	\$4,878	<b>\$67,380</b>	\$67,380	<b>\$0</b>
Dover Township	\$2,183	\$1,282	\$66	<b>\$3,531</b>	\$1,420	<b>\$2,111</b>
Elmira Township	\$2,492	\$1,483	\$99	<b>\$4,074</b>	\$3,627	<b>\$447</b>
Eureka Township	\$13,401.00	\$6,124.00	\$1,123.00	<b>\$20,648.00</b>	\$22,557.00	( <b>\$1,909</b> )
Grey Cloud Island Township	\$13,106	\$0	\$0	<b>\$13,106</b>	\$8,016	<b>\$5,090</b>
Haverhill Township	\$13,453	\$7,398	\$759	<b>\$21,610</b>	\$17,467	<b>\$4,143</b>
High Forest Township	\$6,783	\$4,215	\$396	<b>\$11,394</b>	\$6,281	<b>\$5,113</b>
Isanti County	\$345,592	\$0	\$57,820	<b>\$403,412</b>	\$492,829	( <b>\$89,417</b> )
Kalmar Township	\$13,199	\$7,649	\$363	<b>\$21,211</b>	\$7,926	<b>\$13,285</b>
Kandiyohi County	\$138,900	\$90,285	\$12,770	<b>\$241,955</b>	\$276,031	( <b>\$34,076</b> )
Lynden Township	\$44,368	\$25,417	\$0	<b>\$69,785</b>	\$60,844	<b>\$8,941</b>
Meeker County ***	\$70,541	\$43,809	\$0	<b>\$114,350</b>	\$0	<b>\$114,350</b>
New Haven Township	\$13,657	\$5,623	\$0	<b>\$19,280</b>	\$14,867	<b>\$4,413</b>
Orion Township	\$2,013	\$1,055	\$123	<b>\$3,191</b>	\$1,360	<b>\$1,831</b>
Pleasant Grove Township	\$2,616	\$1,246	\$132	<b>\$3,994</b>	\$3,279	<b>\$715</b>
Princeton Township	\$39,506	\$0	\$0	<b>\$39,506</b>	\$11,959	<b>\$27,547</b>
Rochester Township	\$37,695	\$22,141	\$1,485	<b>\$61,321</b>	\$28,937	<b>\$32,384</b>
Rock Dell Township	\$4,955	\$3,084	\$264	<b>\$8,303</b>	\$4,277	<b>\$4,026</b>
Royalton Township	\$15,071	\$0	\$0	<b>\$15,071</b>	\$11,057	<b>\$4,014</b>
Salem Township	\$7,564	\$4,049	\$528	<b>\$12,141</b>	\$5,557	<b>\$6,584</b>
Scott County	\$507,615	\$308,752	\$92,018	<b>\$908,385</b>	\$724,198	<b>\$184,187</b>
Stearns County	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	<b>\$0</b>
Steele County	\$43,699	\$23,151	\$37,095	<b>\$103,945</b>	\$204,325	( <b>\$100,380</b> )
Stockholm Township	\$5,696	\$1,947	\$780	<b>\$8,423</b>	\$6,015	<b>\$2,408</b>
Viola Township	\$4,010	\$2,470	\$198	<b>\$6,678</b>	\$3,519	<b>\$3,159</b>
Wabasha County	\$58,089	\$31,792	\$0	<b>\$89,881</b>	\$55,428	<b>\$34,453</b>
Watab Township	\$38,830	\$25,027	\$6,331	<b>\$70,188</b>	\$60,438	<b>\$9,750</b>
West Lakeland Township	\$28,906	\$98,430	\$4,052	<b>\$131,388</b>	\$105,177	<b>\$26,211</b>

\* Denotes municipality provided updated numbers to researchers

\*\* Municipality was contacted about no expenses and was unable to provide information

\*\*\* Municipality was contacted about no expenses and did not respond

Source: Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry as reported by municipalities

**Figure 3: Proposed Modified Municipal Construction and Development Fee Revenue and Expenses Annual Report****MUNICIPAL CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT FEE REVENUE AND EXPENSES ANNUAL REPORT**

Minnesota Department of Labor and Industry Construction  
Codes and Licensing Division Building Codes and Standards      443 Lafayette Road N., St. Paul, MN 55155-4341 Phone: (651)  
284-5068 Fax: (651) 284-5749 www.dli.mn.gov

<b>Municipality</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Telephone No. (Include Area Code)</b>
<b>Address</b>	<b>City, State, Zip</b>	<b>Reporting Period Ending Dec. 31, _____ Date Filed:</b>

**I. Report Requirement**

This annual report is required under Minn. State Statute 326B.145 for any municipality collecting \$5,000 or more in construction and development related fees in the above year. *Check One:*  **Collected Less Than \$5,000**  **Collected \$5,000 Or More**  
*Municipalities selecting "Collected Less Than \$5,000" are not required to provide additional information.*

**II. Building Permit And Inspections Revenue**

Permit Type	No. of Permits	No. of Units	Valuation	Permit Fees	Plan Review Fees
1. New Single-Family Dwelling					
2. New Multi-Family Dwelling					
3. New Commercial/Industrial/Institutional					
4. Addition/Alteration					
5. Other					

**III. Expenses Associated With Building Permits and Inspections**

6. New Single-Family Expenses		9. Addition/Alteration Expenses	
7. New Multi-Family Expenses		10. Other Expenses	
8. New Commercial/Industrial/Institutional Expenses		11. Administration / Overhead Expenses	
		12. <b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	

**IV. Fee Revenue And Expenses Associated With Development**

13. Administrative Fees		18. Administrative Expenses	
14. Engineering Fees		19. Engineering Expenses	
15. Planning and Zoning Fees		20. Planning and Zoning Expenses	
16. Other Fees		21. Other Expenses	
17. <b>TOTAL FEE REVENUE</b>		22. <b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	

**V. Fee Revenue And Capital Expenditures Associated With Development-related Infrastructure**

23. Infrastructure Fees		28. Infrastructure Expenses	
24. Park Dedication Fees		29. New Park Land Acquisition &	
25. Park Land Value		Development Expenses	
26. Other Fees		30. Other Expenses	
27. <b>TOTAL FEE REVENUE</b>		31. <b>TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURES</b>	
32. Park Funds Balance		33. Infrastructure Funds Balance	

<b>CERTIFICATION:</b> I hereby certify that information contained here to be in an accurate representation of fees collected and expenses incurred.	<b>Name/Title of Official Completing Form</b>	<b>Telephone No. (Include Area Code)</b>
---	---	--



**HOUSING AFFORDABILITY**  
INSTITUTE

[HOUSINGAFFORDABILITYINSTITUTE.ORG](http://HOUSINGAFFORDABILITYINSTITUTE.ORG)

2960 Centre Pointe Drive, Roseville, MN 55113